Electrochemical oxidation behavior of pyrite bioleaching by *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*
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Abstract: The electrochemical oxidation behavior of pyrite in bioleaching system of *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* was investigated by cyclic voltammetry (CV), polarization curve and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). The results show that in the presence or absence of *A. ferrooxidans*, the oxidation reaction of pyrite is divided into two steps: the first reaction step involves the oxidation of pyrite to S, and the second reaction step is the oxidation of S to SO$_4^{2-}$. The oxidation mechanism of pyrite is not changed in the presence of *A. ferrooxidans*, but the oxidation rate of pyrite is accelerated. With the extension of reaction time of *A. ferrooxidans* with pyrite, the polarization current density of pyrite increases and the breakdown potential at which the passive film dissolves decreases. The impedance in the presence of *A. ferrooxidans* is obviously lower than that in the absence of *A. ferrooxidans*, further indicating that microorganism accelerates the corrosion process of pyrite.
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1 Introduction

As a processing technique for sulfide ores, bioleaching is expanding rapidly. Pyrite is the most common sulfide mineral in nature. A better understanding of the reactivity and oxidation of pyrite in bioleaching process is needed for improving the rate of dissolution of valuable metals from ores.

The dissolution of pyrite in bioleaching system is a complex electrochemical process with electron transfer. Electron transfer among mineral surface, interface of bacteria and leaching solution is the root cause of the oxidation−reduction reaction. It is feasible to investigate and analyze the electrochemical oxidation behavior of pyrite in bio-leaching system of *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* by electrochemical theory and methods [1,2]. Electrochemical methods can convert chemical value that is generally difficult to measure to electrochemical parameters [3−5]. LIU et al [6−8] researched the electrochemical behavior of hydrothermal and sedimentary pyrite in acidic solution and found that the dissolution of pyrite was related to potential. Pyrite was oxidized to S below 0.6 V (vs SCE) and the oxidation rate was very slow; above 0.6 V (vs SCE), the oxidation rate increased. They also pointed out that the rate of pyrite dissolution was weakly dependent on the solution pH. While PASCHKA and DZOMBAK [9] argued that the rate of pyrite oxidation increased with the increase of concentration of Fe$^{3+}$ ions and dissolved O$_2$, and decreased with the increase of concentrations of Fe$^{2+}$ and H$^+$ ions in the solution. CHERMYSHOV A [10] studied the pyrite oxidative dissolution products, and held that the reaction products were found to vary with applied potential, electrolyte composition and solution pH. HOMES and CRUNDWELL [11] used the electrochemical approach to examine the kinetics of pyrite oxidation and derived the rate expression of pyrite oxidation. These studies [6−11] focused on pyrite oxidative dissolution products under various aqueous conditions. SO$_4^{2-}$, Fe(OH)$_3$(s), Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ have been identified as the reaction products in aqueous solutions, with elemental S and other polysulfides as intermediates on the pyrite electrode surface.

As it is known, microorganisms are important in metal recovery from pyrite. *A. ferrooxidans* is the most important iron- and sulfur-oxidizing bacteria [12,13]. However, there are a few detailed reports about utilizing
electrochemical measurements for studying the oxidation mechanism of pyrite with bacterial involvement [14]. In this work, cyclic voltammetry (CV), polarization curve and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy were used to investigate the electrochemical behavior of pyrite in the presence and absence of A. ferrooxidans, and to improve the understanding of the reaction mechanisms involved in the pyrite electrobioleaching.

2 Experimental

2.1 Microorganisms and culture media

The strain of A. ferrooxidans (ATCC23270) used in the experiment was conserved by the Key Laboratory of Biometallurgy in Central South University, China. Before the experiment, A. ferrooxidans were cultured in 9K medium replacing the ferrous sulfate with 4% FeS₂ as the sole energy source at 30 °C and initial pH 2.0. The 9K medium contained 3.0 g/L (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.1 g/L KCl, 0.5 g/L K₂HPO₄, 0.5 g/L MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.01 g/L Ca(NO₃)₂. When the bacteria reached the logarithmic growth period, cells were harvested by centrifugation and washed twice with electrolyte. The concentration of strains used in the experiments was 1×10⁸ cell/mL.

2.2 Mineral and electrode

The sample of pyrite used in this study was obtained from Yunfu Sulfur Iron Ore, Guangdong Province, China. Pyrite sample with fine crystallization was selected as the working electrode, and was cut into d 12 mm×4 mm cylinder. The cylinder was put into specially designed electrode sets and only one side was exposed. The effective area of pyrite electrode was 1 cm².

2.3 Electrochemical experiment

The electrochemical measurements were performed using a three-electrode arrangement with a working electrode (pyrite), a graphite rod as auxiliary electrode and an Ag|AgCl|KCl sat reference electrode. The electrolyte was 9K and 0.1 mol/L Na₂SO₄ solution. The solution pH was adjusted to 2.0 with H₂SO₄. All reagents were analytical grade products. Distilled water was used in experiment. The three electrodes and the electrolyte were placed in a water thermostat system, which was kept at constant temperature. All potentials were referenced to the saturated calomel electrode (SCE). The solid pyrite electrode was prepared by sequentially polishing with 300, 500 and 600 grades silicon carbide paper. After polishing, the electrode was rinsed with ethanol and distilled water. A Princeton Potentiostat/ Galvanostat 273&Lock-in Amplifier produced by EG&G Princeton Applied Research Corp., USA, was used to perform the electrochemical measurements.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Polarization curves of pyrite

Figure 1 shows the polarization curves of pyrite in the presence and absence of A. ferrooxidans. Before the measurement, electrodes were soaked in the electrolyte for 30 min. It can be seen from Fig. 1 that when the applied potential swept from point a to point b, no current signals appeared. This implies that the surface of electrode was passivated in the initial stage of scanning. When the applied potential swept over point b, the current density increased rapidly because the passive film was broken up. The potential and current density at point b are the pitting potential and pitting current density of the pyrite electrode.

![Fig. 1 Polarization curves of pyrite in the presence and absence of A. ferrooxidans (pH=2.0; t=30 °C; scan rate 1 mV/s)](image)

Figure 1 also shows that the pitting potential and pitting current density of the pyrite electrode have no significant difference with or without bacteria involvement. But the polarization current density of pyrite in the leaching system with A. ferrooxidans was higher than that in the sterile solution after the potential reaches the pitting potential. That is to say, the corrosion rate of pyrite was accelerated by A. ferrooxidan.

3.2 Cyclic voltammetry of pyrite electrode

Cyclic voltammogram obtained from pyrite electrode is shown in Fig. 2. Before the experiment, the pyrite electrodes were immersed in the electrolyte for 30 min. The results indicate that in the electrode potential region of ~0.6 to 0.8 V (vs SCE), in the sterile solution, the polarization current density of pyrite was small. Above 0.6 V (vs SCE), the current density increased. These results can be explained by the two-step oxidation reaction model proposed by LIN and SAY [15]. Pyrite is firstly oxidized to S by reaction (1), which makes the electrode passivated; above 0.6 V (vs SCE), S is oxidized to SO₄²⁻ by reaction (2) and the passive film is broken.
Although in this study there is no distinguishable oxidation peak below 0.6 V (vs SCE) for S film formation, the results of polarization curves and the relatively high current density beyond 0.6 (vs SCE) are suggestive of oxidation of a surface film formed at lower potentials, and which is consistent with other studies [6].

$$FeS_2 \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + 2S + 2e$$  \hfill (1)

$$FeS + 8H_2O \rightarrow 2SO_4^{2-} + Fe^{3+} + 16H^+ + 15e$$  \hfill (2)

In the leaching solution with *A. ferrooxidans*, a weak oxidation peak was observed around 0 V (vs SCE), indicating S film formation. And it can be seen that the oxidation of the S film on the pyrite surface occurred at a lower oxidation potential than in the sterile solution, and the oxidation current of pyrite was obviously increased. These results in the presence and absence of *A. ferrooxidans* show that the process of pyrite oxidation was not changed, but the oxidation rate of pyrite was accelerated by *A. ferrooxidans*.

During reverse scanning process of cathode, a reduction peak around −0.5 V (vs SCE) was observed. It could be interpreted as two possible reactions: 1) the reduction of S formed electrochemically during the oxidation scan, and 2) the reduction of FeS$_2$(s) to form FeS(s) and H$_2$S.

Cyclic voltammograms obtained during the reaction of pyrite electrode at different time are shown in Fig. 3. Prior to tests, electrodes were interacted with *A. ferrooxidans* solution for 5 min, 3 d and 9 d, respectively. It can be seen that within the electrode potential region of −0.6 to 0.8 V (vs SCE), there was a passivation region in the curve during the anodic scanning process. This was probably caused by the oxidation reaction by which pyrite was oxidized to S, and then the electrode was passivated. As the potential rose, the passive film dissolved and the current density increased rapidly.

Comparing the curves at different reaction time of *A. ferrooxidan* with pyrite in Fig. 3, it is found that, the longer the interaction time, the greater the polarization current density of the pyrite, and the lower the breakdown potential at which the passive film dissolved. In conclusion, the corrosion rate of pyrite increased after the reaction of *A. ferrooxidan* with pyrite.

### 3.3 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy of pyrite

Figure 4 illustrates the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy results for pyrite electrode in the presence of *A. ferrooxidans*.
electrochemistry behavior of pyrite.

Figure 4 also indicates that the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy of pyrite with or without *A. ferrooxidans* involvement was similar. But the impedance with *A. ferrooxidans* was much lower than that without. The bacteria removed the passive film on pyrite surface or inhibited its formation, reducing the impedance and accelerating the dissolution rate of pyrite.

In Fig. 7, the EIS of pyrite as a function of reaction time of *A. ferrooxidans* shows an arc at high frequency and an oblique line at low frequency. This proved that oxidation reaction was controlled by electron transfer step at high frequency and was diffusion controlled at low frequency. The resistance of electron transfer firstly increased and then decreased. After 9 d, the electrode surface was covered by yellow substance, which may be jarosite; after 12 d, the amount of yellow substance increased. From Fig. 6, it is deduced that after pyrite was oxidized by *A. ferrooxidans*, the resistance of pyrite

![Fig. 5](image)

**Fig. 5** Equivalent circuit for pyrite in the presence and absence of *A. ferrooxidans*: (a) Between 0.15–0.35 V; (b) Between 0.35–0.60 V

![Fig. 6](image)

**Fig. 6** EIS and corresponding analog values of pyrite in the presence and absence of *A. ferrooxidans* at 0.35 V (a) and 0.6 V (b)

![Fig. 7](image)

**Fig. 7** EIS of pyrite as function of reaction time of *A. ferrooxidans* and pyrite (t=30 °C; pH=2.0; ϕ=450 mV; f=10$^{-2}$–10$^5$ Hz; 9K+0.1 mol/L Na$_2$SO$_4$)
oxidation firstly decreased and then increased because jarosite covered the electrode surface.

4 Conclusions

1) Cyclic voltammetry curve shows that in the presence or absence of *A. ferrooxidans*, pyrite is firstly oxidized to S, which makes electrode passivated; above 0.6 V (vs SCE), S is oxidized to SO$_4^{2-}$. The polarization current density of pyrite and the oxidation rate of pyrite are accelerated by *A. ferrooxidans*.

2) The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy indicates that the reactions on the surface of pyrite are not the same at different potentials. Pyrite is oxidized and produces passive film at 0.25 V−0.35 V (vs SCE); but above 0.6 V (vs SCE), passive film is dissolved and the impedance decreases. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy of pyrite with or without *A. ferrooxidans* involvement is similar. However, the impedance with *A. ferrooxidans* is much lower than that without *A. ferrooxidans*.
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