Bioleaching of chalcopyrite with *Acidianus manzaensis* YN25 under contact and non-contact conditions
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**Abstract:** In order to investigate the contributions of contact and non-contact cells of *Acidianus manzaensis* (A. manzaensis) YN25 to the bioleaching of chalcopyrite, three experiments were carried out in the modified shake flasks. The redox potential, pH, cell density, copper and iron ions in the solution were monitored, and the morphological feature and chemical composition of the leached residues were analyzed. The highest leaching efficiency of Cu and Fe was reached in the experiment where the *A. manzaensis* YN25 could contact the surface of the chalcopyrite. There was no precipitation of jarosite in the leached residues of three experiments, but there was elemental sulfur in the leached residues when the cells could not contact the chalcopyrite. From these results, it is apparent that the leaching of the chalcopyrite is the cooperative action of the contact and non-contact *A. manzaensis* YN25.
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1 Introduction

Chalcopyrite is both the most abundant and the most refractory copper sulfide. Several studies with mesophilic microorganisms such as *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* and *Leptospirillum ferrooxidans* have shown very slow copper leaching rates[1]. The reason that chalcopyrite does not respond well to mesophiles is primarily its tendency to “passivate” at the high solution potentials (>600 mV vs Ag/AgCl). However, when thermophilic microorganisms were used, leaching rates were considerably enhanced due to high temperatures and the metabolic characteristics of these types of microorganisms[2–3]. The researches showed that chalcopyrite can be dissolved efficiently in the presence of thermophilic microorganisms such as *Sulfolobus metallicus*, *Acidianus brierleyi* or *Metallosphera sedula*[4–5]. There is considerable interest today in applying thermophiles to bioleach chalcopyrite in stirred tank reactors and in bioheap leaching. The *Acidianus manzaensis* (A. manzaensis) YN25 used in the experiments is a novel thermoacidophilic archaea in genus of *Acidianus*, firstly isolated from a fumarole in Manza by YOSHIDA et al in 2006[6], which could survive in the temperature range of 60–90 °C and pH range of 1.0–5.0. The cells were nearly regular cocci with an envelope comprised of the cytoplasmic membrane. It is a facultative autotroph capable of both anaerobic and aerobic growth, and it is able to oxidize ferrous iron and sulfur compounds to obtain energy for growth. Such energetic metabolism is responsible for metal solubilization. A pure culture of *A. manzaensis* YN25 was isolated from an acid hot spring in Yunnan Province, China, and preserved in our lab. The experiment done in our lab demonstrated that the strain YN25 possesses a prospective bioleaching potential.

Most leaching bacteria grow attached to surfaces of mineral sulfides and form biofilms that have well-developed community structures, with mechanisms for the delivery of nutrients and the disposal of waste products. There are indications that attachment to metal sulfides does not occur randomly. For example, atomic force microscopy (AFM) images demonstrate that cells of *At. ferrooxidans* preferentially (>80%) attach to sites with visible imperfection changes on surface[7]. This
means that the bacteria maintain a close contact with the sulfide interface in order to dissolve it. In the past, attachment of bacteria to sulfide surfaces and the enhanced rates of dissolution of the sulfides were used as evidences that bioleaching proceeded via a direct mechanism (enzymatic attack). The search for evidence to support this hypothesis has resulted in innovative studies on the role of attachment in bioleaching[8]. It is proposed that the term “contact” leaching should be used in place of “direct” leaching because it describes the association of bacteria with a surface rather than the meaning of attack[9]. And some studies showed that sulphide minerals can be biologically leached by non-contact, contact or cooperative leaching mechanisms[10].

The aim of this study is to investigate the contribution of contact and non-contact cells of A. manzaensis YN25 to the bioleaching of chalcopyrite, and then whether the chalcopyrite is leached by A. manzaensis YN25 primarily in contact, non-contact or cooperative leaching mechanism is detected.

2 Experimental

2.1 Bacterial strain and growth conditions

The pure culture of A. manzaensis YN25 used in the experiments was isolated and conserved in our laboratory, and it was grown at 65 °C in medium 9K (without Fe^{2+}) consisting of the following compounds: (NH_4)_2SO_4 3.0 g/L, K_2HPO_4 0.5 g/L, MgSO_4·7H_2O 0.5 g/L, KCl 0.1 g/L, Ca (NO_3)_2 0.01 g/L, and yeast extract 0.2 g/L. And the initial pH was adjusted to 1.5. The inoculum was obtained by centrifugation and washed three times in acidified distilled water (pH 1.5). The cells then were suspended in basal salts medium without energy sources.

2.2 Mineral

The chalcopyrite used in the experiments was ground and passed through a sieve with a pore size of 75 μm. The mineral sample mainly consisted of 90.4% chalcopyrite, 4.7% pyrite and 4.9% silicon dioxide.

2.3 Bioleaching experiments

Bioleaching experiments were carried out in a modified shake flask (Fig.1). The flask was composed of two parts: a big cylinder with a small cylinder in it. The end of the small cylinder was sealed with a 0.15 μm millipore filter, which was immersed in the solution of the big cylinder. 1 g chalcopyrite particles were added in the big cylinder that contained 100 mL basal medium, and 40 mL basal medium was added in the small cylinder. Microorganisms were inoculated to get a cell population of 5×10^7 cells/mL. The microorganisms in the small cylinder could not reach the surface of chalcopyrite, but were involved in the chemical process of the solution. The microorganisms in the big cylinder could contact the chalcopyrite, parts of which adsorb on the surface of chalcopyrite particles, and the others are planktonic cells. The planktonic cells have the chance to contact the surface of chalcopyrite particles. Thus, in this work, the microorganisms in the big cylinder are defined as the contact cells, while the microorganisms in the small cylinder are defined as the non-contact cells. Flasks were maintained at 65 °C and shaken at 150 r/min. Distilled water was added to the flask in order to compensate for evaporation losses. The experiments were carried out under three different conditions: experiment A, both of the cylinders were inoculated with A. manzaensis YN25; experiment B, only the small cylinder was inoculated with A. manzaensis YN25; experiment C, neither of the cylinders was inoculated.

2.4 Analysis methods

Redox potential and pH were measured daily, while the levels of copper and iron in the solution were analyzed by atomic absorption spectrophotometry every two days. The cell concentration in solution was determined by direct counting using hemocytometer under an optical microscope. Once the experiments were finished, the cells grown in the big cylinder and small cylinder were filtered through filter paper to remove cells from the suspended solid materials, respectively. The liquid containing the cells was then centrifuged and the cell pellet was obtained. So, the cells obtained from the big cylinder are the planktonic cells that have the chance to contact the surface of chalcopyrite particles. It was washed three times in dilute sulfuric acid (pH 1.5) in order to remove any trapped ions and freeze-dried so as to record the FT-IR spectra. The cell pellets were freeze-dried to be powders, and the quantity of powders which were added into the FT-IR instrument was the same every time. Thus, the cell population in the cylinder would not influence the intensities of the FT-IR peaks. And the leached residues were filtered and dried using a freeze drier. The morphological feature and chemical
composition of the leached residues were analyzed by SEM and XRD, respectively.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Bioleaching experiments

As shown in Fig.2, after 15 days of leaching, the maximum copper dissolution (about 620 mg/L) was reached in experiment A, in which the inoculated microorganisms were able to contact the surface of chalcopyrite. In experiment B where the inoculated microorganisms could not contact the surface of chalcopyrite, the copper dissolution was about 48% that in experiment A. The copper dissolution of experiment C was the least, only 166 mg/L. It was not inoculated in experiment C, and the chalcopyrite was slowly leached by H⁺ and O₂ according to reaction (1)[2].

$$2\text{CuFeS}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{Cu}^{2+} + 4\text{S}_0 + 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

By comparing the results of experiments B and C, we can conclude that the non-contact \textit{A. manzaensis} YN25 can also enhance the chalcopyrite dissolution. And by comparing the results of experiments A and B, we can conclude that the \textit{A. manzaensis} YN25 has much more contribution to the dissolution of chalcopyrite if the microorganisms have the chance to contact the chalcopyrite. So, it is indicated that the leaching of the chalcopyrite is the cooperative action of the contact and non-contact \textit{A. manzaensis} YN25, but the contact \textit{A. manzaensis} YN25 is more important.

As shown in Fig.3, the solution pH of experiments A and B increased to about 1.6 then started to decrease after 2 days, while the solution pH of experiment C increased all the time to about 2.4. Elemental sulfur was produced in the leaching of chalcopyrite, which may suspend as free aggregates and crystals, or can form a layer on the metal sulfide surface[11]. Then, some elemental sulfur was diffused into the small cylinder, which was oxidized to sulfuric acid. Compared with experiment A, less H⁺ production in experiment B should lead to a much higher pH value; however, such phenomenon would be weakened by the less H⁺ consumption because of the less effective leaching process (reaction (1)). Consequently, the decline of pH value in experiment B was also detected as well as that observed in experiment A. As a result, the two experiments presented close pH values. Chalcopyrite was leached in experiment C according to reaction (1). Since there was no H⁺ produced, the solution pH of experiment C increased all the time.

As shown in Fig.4, the solution redox potential of experiment A reached about 570 mV (vs Ag/AgCl) at the end of the experiments, and the solution redox potential of experiment B only reached about 480 mV (vs Ag/AgCl), but the solution redox potential of experiment C decreased all the time. Solution redox potential mainly depends on the ratio of $\rho(\text{Fe}^{3+})/\rho(\text{Fe}^{2+})$. Fe³⁺ was consumed for the oxidation of chalcopyrite, but Fe²⁺ could not be oxidized to Fe³⁺ as there was no \textit{A. manzaensis} YN25 in experiment C, so the solution redox potential of experiment C decreased.
Both of the spectra show similar absorption features, in the small cylinder and big cylinder are shown in Fig. 5. Although the intensities of the absorption bands are different. The FT-IR spectra of A. manzaensis YN25 cells grown in the small cylinder and big cylinder are shown in Fig. 5. Both of the spectra show similar absorption features, although the intensities of the absorption bands are different.

The bands were assigned according to previous reports[12–13]. The bands at 2 960 cm$^{-1}$ and 2 929 cm$^{-1}$ characterize asymmetric $\text{–CH}_2$ stretching and asymmetric $\text{–CH}_3$ stretching, respectively. The bands near 3 035 cm$^{-1}$ and 3 076 cm$^{-1}$ are assigned to asymmetric and symmetric stretching of the $\text{–NH}_2$ group. The intense band at 1 659 cm$^{-1}$ could be very sharp, indicating the presence of an amide group (amide I band). The bands at 1 551 cm$^{-1}$ characterize $\text{–NH}$ bending of the secondary amide group (amide II band). The bands at 1 239 cm$^{-1}$ is due to $\text{–CH}_3$ wagging modes. The band near 1 084 cm$^{-1}$ arises due to $\text{–CH}_2$ rocking and $\text{–CH}_2$ wagging modes. Thus, the FT-IR spectra obtained showed the presence of CH$_2$, CH$_3$, NH, NH$_2$ and CONH groups on the surface of all the grown cells. The groups obtained are the groups present in any protein molecule. So, these data indicate that proteins existed on the surface of A. manzaensis. As revealed from the spectra, the intensities of all the absorbance peaks in the cells that could contact the chalcopyrite are larger than those that could not contact the chalcopyrite. So, the cells that could contact the chalcopyrite secrete more protein than those that could not contact the chalcopyrite on the cell surface. Since protein molecules are hydrophobic, it can be speculated that, the cells that can contact the chalcopyrite are more hydrophobic than the cells that cannot contact the chalcopyrite. These results suggest that under different growth conditions, the differences in the content of protein secreted by microorganisms may alter the surface characteristics of the cells. And the results are similar to the finding of SHARMA et al[12] and NATARAJAN and DAS[14].

### 3.3 Surface properties of leached residues

SEM images of the chalcopyrite before the experiment and the leached residues are shown in Fig. 6. From Fig. 6(a), we could see that the chalcopyrite was heavily etched in experiment A, and there were lots of cells attached in the etched pits (the arrows show the microorganisms). From Figs. 6(b) and (c) we found that the surfaces of the leached residues were smooth and there were some cracks on it. It can be speculated that there were passivating layers on the surfaces of the leached residues in experiments B and C which were supposed to be sulfur or jarosite. This was verified by the X-ray diffraction results of the leached residues (Fig. 7). The jarosite was not detected in the leached residues of all these experiments. This may be due to the very low pH values in the culture systems, which resulted in little jarosite precipitation[15–16]. But, the sulfur was detected in the leached residues of experiments B and C except experiment A, so the layer on the leached residues of the experiments B and C are composed of sulfur. It was not inoculated in experiment C, where the chalcopyrite was slowly leached by H$^+$ and O$_2$ according to reaction (1). Consequently, the elemental sulfur was accumulated and formed a layer on the surface of chalcopyrite. From this, we can conclude that the layer composed of sulfur could be removed by contact cells, but non-contact cells in the small cylinder could not do this. Consequently, elemental sulfur may accumulate in
Fig. 6 SEM images of leached residues: (a) Experiment A; (b) Experiment B; (c) Experiment C; (d) Chalcopyrite before experiment.

Fig. 7 XRD patterns of leached residues: (a) Experiment A; (b) Experiment B; (c) Experiment C.
the process of metal sulfide dissolution if the sulfur-oxidizing bacteria are absent or inhibited. Elemental sulfur may suspend as free aggregates and crystals, or can form a layer on the metal sulfide surface [11]. In the latter case, the electrochemical properties of the metal sulfide surface may change and a barrier is formed which reduces the diffusion rates of ions and oxygen. Both phenomena negatively influence the leaching of chalcopyrite. Sulfur layers that decrease the leaching rate were observed on acid-soluble sphalerite at low redox potentials when sulfur oxidizers were absent [17]. In the case of chalcopyrite, sulfur-containing reaction products have been invoked by slow dissolution. The factors that influence the form of surface sulfur layers during metal sulfide oxidation are not yet fully understood. Therefore, the details of this process have to be elucidated in order to prevent the formation of inhibiting layers in leaching plant operations.

4 Conclusions

1) It was found that the leaching of chalcopyrite was the cooperative action of the contact and non-contact A. manzaensis YN25. And the experimental results showed that the non-contact A. manzaensis YN25 could also enhance the chalcopyrite dissolution, but the A. manzaensis YN25 that could contact the surface of minerals played a more important role in the bioleaching process of chalcopyrite.

2) The analysis of leached residues indicated that the passivation of chalcopyrite concentrate was mainly due to the elemental sulphur layer on the mineral surface, other than the jarosite. The surface passivating layer of sulfur could be removed only when the A. manzaensis YN25 could contact the surface of the chalcopyrite.

3) The amount of protein on the surface of A. manzaensis YN25 was higher when the cells could contact chalcopyrite, which endowed the cells with more hydrophobicity.
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