Tribological properties and thermal-stress analysis of C/C−SiC composites during braking
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Abstract: The tribological properties and thermal-stress behaviors of C/C−SiC composites during braking were investigated aiming to simulate braking tests of high-speed trains. The temperature and structural fields of C/C−SiC composites during braking were fully coupled and simulated with ANSYS software. The results of tribological tests indicated that the C/C−SiC composites showed excellent static friction coefficient (0.68) and dynamic friction coefficient (average value of 0.36). The highest temperature on friction surface was 445 °C. The simulated temperature field showed that the highest temperature which appeared on the friction surface during braking was about 463 °C. Analysis regarding thermal-stress field showed that the highest thermal-stress on friction surface was 11.5 MPa. The temperature and thermal-stress distributions on friction surface during braking showed the same tendency.
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1 Introduction

C/C−SiC composites, the carbon fibers reinforced carbon and silicon carbide dual matrices composites, are a new kind of high performance brake materials developed after carbon fibers reinforced carbon matrix (C/C) composites. C/C−SiC composites not only retain the advantages of C/C composites, but also overcome most of the disadvantages and possess some other excellent properties, such as higher thermal shock resistance, longer service life, especially lower sensibility to surroundings for a silicon carbide share of at least 20% in mass [1−3]. Due to their low coefficient of thermal expansion, high thermal conductivity and moderate modulus, C/C−SiC composites show excellent thermal shock stability. Among materials with low densities and high damage tolerance behavior, C/C−SiC composites show superior tribological properties indicating these materials to be one of the best candidates for advanced brake systems [4].

Up to now, the researches related to C/C−SiC composites are mainly concentrated on preparation methods, physical properties, tribological properties and their design of structure or properties. For instance, it was investigated by LI et al [5] that situ-grown carbon nanofibers can improve the tribological properties of C/C−SiC composites. LI et al [6] found that C/C−SiC composites showed excellent fatigue performance with high damage-tolerance. And KRENKEL and RERNDT [4] revealed that the C/C−SiC composites could be suitable materials for their applications in friction systems. However, the researches concerning the thermal field and thermal-stress fields of C/C−SiC composites during braking are rarely reported.

As for the applications in a brake system, the distributions of temperature and thermal-stress during braking are very significant because kinetic energy during braking is mainly absorbed as heat energy by braking systems and is dissipated into the surrounding atmosphere. Due to the generation of frictional heat on the interface of the disc and pad, there is rapid rise in
temperature. When temperature of frictional surface exceeds the critical value of the given materials, it may lead to thermal cracks, excessive wearing or even braking failure [7–10].

With increasing the speed of high-speed trains, brake energy is correspondingly increasing. Huge heat load leads to cracks generation and propagation on the brake disc. So, it is important to determine the temperature and thermal-stress fields of the brake disc with precision when analyzing their failure mechanism and designing a new type of discs [10,11]. However, both the temperature and the thermal-stress during braking process are extremely difficult to measure. The temperature is usually measured by thermocouples at several measuring points of discs, but the analysis of thermal-stress magnitude would be quite difficult without analysis or design tools. Therefore, the system should be analyzed by simulation method in ANSYS software. In this way, the temperature and thermal-stress fields during braking could be simulated precisely [12,13].

In this study, C/C–SiC brake composites were fabricated by chemical vapor infiltration (CVI) combined with liquid silicon infiltration (LSI). The tribological performance of C/C–SiC composites mated with self-counterparts which simulated the braking conditions of high-speed trains was investigated. The temperature and thermal-stress fields of C/C-SiC composites under the same conditions were simulated and analyzed by finite element method (FEM) in ANSYS software.

2 Experimental

2.1 Preparation

The C/C–SiC composites were prepared by 2.5D needled carbon felt preform densified with CVI and LSI. The preparation process including three major steps is described as follows [14]. The first step was the preparation of fabric preforms by the needling technique which started with repeatedly overlapping the layers of non-woven fiber cloth and short-cut fiber web with needle-punching step by step. The second step involved the production of C/C materials and densification in an isothermal furnace with CVI. The final step was a subsequent densification with LSI. After the preparation of materials, the ring samples were prepared for tribological tests. The detailed fabrication process of C/C–SiC samples was described in Ref. [2].

2.2 Test methods

The microstructures and morphologies of C/C–SiC composites were observed with scanning electron microscope (SEM, FEI Nova Nano SEM–230) equipped with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscop(e EDX), three-dimensional digital video microscope (HIROXKH–7700) and optical microscope (OM, REUCHERT MeF3A).

The tribological properties were tested on an MM–3000 disc-on-disc type laboratory scale dynamometer. Both the stator and rotor were C/C–SiC composites. The parameters used in the tests are recapitulated in Table 1. Ten times pre-tests were conducted before formal tests to run in characteristic. A thermocouple was embedded within the stator to measure the temperature. It was located on the average friction radius and 1 mm beneath the friction surface. The formal test was repeated 20 times.

Table 1 Preselected parameters used in braking tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial rotational speed, ( n/(r \cdot \text{min}^{-1}) )</td>
<td>6500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brake pressure, ( P/\text{MPa} )</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia, ( J/(\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2) )</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The static friction coefficient was also tested on an MM–3000 dynamometer, which was after pre-tests and before formal tests. The dynamic friction coefficient was measured when the inertia disc was rotated slowly with the pressure loading on. The magnitudes of pressure and moment of inertia were the same as those of the formal tests.

3 Numerical modeling

3.1 Finite element method

It is a numerical technique for finding the approximate solutions to boundary value problems for partial differential equations. It uses subdivision of a whole problem domain into simpler part, called finite element and solves the problem by minimizing an associated error function. The subdivision of the whole domain has several advantages [15]: accurate representation of the complex geometry; inclusion of dissimilar of the solution; easy representation of the solution; capture of the local effects and so on.

It divides the domain into a group of sub-domains; every sub-domain is represented by a set of element equations of the original domain.

To simplify the analysis, several assumptions have also been made as follows [12].

(1) All kinetic energy is converted into frictional heat or heat flux.

(2) The heat transfer for this analysis only involves heat conduction and convection. The heat radiation can be neglected in this analysis because of small amount (about 5%). The heat transfer efficiency is about 90%.

(3) In this study, the ambient temperature and initial temperature are set to be 20 °C.
(4) The C/C–SiC composites are considered to be orthotropic in this study, because the properties parallel and perpendicular to the fiber cloth are different.

According to heat transfer theory, the transient heat conduction equation of the material with an axisymmetric structure and without inner heat source in the cylindrical coordinates is [16]

$$\rho c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r k_r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( k_z \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)$$

(1)

with the boundary and initial conditions shown as follows:

(1)  \(q_n=\eta \mu \rho \left(T-T_c\right)\) on \(\Gamma_1\)
(2)  \(q_n=\gamma q_n^*\) on \(\Gamma_2\)
(3)  \(T=25^\circ C\) when \(t=0\)

where \(\rho\), \(c\), \(k_r\) and \(k_z\) are the density, specific heat capacity, thermal conductivities in \(r\) and \(z\) directions of the material, respectively. Also, \(h\) is the heat convection coefficient, \(q_n\) is the heat flux at each contact interface due to friction, \(T_c\) is the ambient temperature, \(T_0\) is the initial temperature, and \(\Gamma_1\) and \(\Gamma_2\) are the boundaries on which temperature, convection and heat flux are imposed, respectively.

3.2 Modeling and meshing

The 3D model of C/C–SiC composite sample was established in SOLIDWORKS software (as shown in Fig. 1(a)). The elements used for meshing of the sample are 3D elements with 10 nodes (Fig. 1(b)).

3.3 Heat flux

The heat flux entering the sample surface was calculated by the following formula [17]:

$$q(r, t)=\eta \mu \rho (r, t)\left(T(r, t)-T_0\right)$$

(2)

where \(q\) is heat flux entering the friction surface; \(\eta\) is the distribution rate of the heat flux on each surface (in this study, the brake test was conducted under self-ring condition, so the value of \(\eta\) is 0.5); \(\mu\) is friction coefficient; \(p=P/S\) is the pressure per unit area; \(v\) is the linear velocity; and \(\omega\) is the angular velocity.

To simplify the analysis, it is assumed that the density contribution of C/C–SiC composites is homogeneous during braking; the braking pressure is distributed uniformly on the surface. Thus, the heat flux is related to time and radius.

3.4 Determination of convection coefficient

The thermal analysis of the braking system requires a precise determination of the quantity of heat flux as well as the distribution of this energy between the rotor and stator. During braking, some of the frictional heat unavoidably escapes in the air ambient by convection. Consequently, the determination of the convection coefficient is essential. Their exact calculation is rather difficult, because these coefficients depend on the structure of the braking system, the speed of the vehicle and consequently of the air circulation [18].

In this study, the heat convection coefficient \((h)\) on each surface was calculated by the following method [19]:

The \(h\) depends on the state of the air movement, the physical properties of air, the shape of the sample and the temperature gradient between the sample surface and ambient. The computational formula is:

$$h=Nu \lambda / l_0$$

(3)

where \(\lambda\) is the heat conductivity of the air (W/(m·K)); \(l_0\) is the size of solid surface (m), \(Nu\) is Nusselt number and it can be determined by following items.

(1) The outside surfaces of sample

During braking, the heat convection between outside surface and air belongs to forced convection heat transfer, so for the vortex of a bare cylinder, \(Nu\) can be calculated by the empirical formula:

$$Nu = \begin{cases} 0.193Re^{0.618}Pr^{1/3}, & 4 \times 10^3 < Re < 4 \times 10^5 \\ 0.026Re^{0.805}Pr^{1/3}, & 4 \times 10^5 < Re < 4 \times 10^7 \end{cases}$$

(4)

where \(Re\) is the rotating Reynolds number and \(Pr\) is the Prandtl number.

At the end of braking, the heat convection between outside surface and air belongs to free convection heat transfer in infinite space, so \(Nu\) can be calculated by the empirical formula:
\[
Nu = \begin{cases} 
0.48(GrPr)^{0.22}, & GrPr \in (1 \times 10^4 - 5.76 \times 10^8) \\
0.0445(GrPr)^{0.37}, & GrPr \in (5.76 \times 10^8 - 4.65 \times 10^9) \\
0.10(GrPr)^{1/3}, & GrPr \in (4.65 \times 10^9 - \infty)
\end{cases}
\] (5)

where \( Gr \) is the Grashof number.

(2) Internal surfaces of sample

For the whole braking process, the heat convection between internal surface and air belongs to free convection heat transfer in confined space, so \( Nu \) can be calculated by the empirical formula:

\[
Nu \approx 0.2(Gr_{3}Pr)^{0.25}, \quad 10^{3} < Gr_{3}Pr < 10^{9}
\] (6)

where \( Gr_{3} \) is the Grashof number in the area with feature size of \( \delta \).

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Microstructure

After the sample preparation, the morphologies of C/C–SiC composites were observed by SEM (as shown in Fig. 2). With the help of the energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS), it was known that the black regions in the Fig. 2(a) were carbon fiber reinforced pyrolytic carbon (PyC) matrix, known as C/C material, and the gray or white regions were SiC matrix or residual Si. Figure 3 showed the morphologies of the cross section and surface of C/C–SiC composites. The layered structure can be seen obviously in Fig. 3(a), and SiC was formed among the fiber bundles in the non-woven web, inside the short-cut fabric and around the needling fibers. During LSI process, the molten silicon can only infiltrate into the macroscopic pores of the inter-bundle zone because of capillarity and react with carbon matrix to form SiC. Although there are pores in the fiber bundles, silicon can only infiltrate part of them and the other pores are so small that the formed SiC prevents the molten Si from further diffusion.
4.2 Tribological properties

The results of tribological tests were presented in Figs. 4 and 5. The brake curve (Fig. 4) showed that the braking process lasted for about 10 s, and the whole process could be divided into three stages. In the early stage, the friction coefficient was high; in the middle stage, the friction coefficient decreased and became steady; in the later stage, the friction coefficient increased and approached to maximum value at the end of braking process. The results of tribological tests indicated that the C/C−SiC composites showed excellent character with high static friction coefficient (0.68) and average dynamic friction coefficient (0.36).

![Fig. 4 Typical braking curve of C/C−SiC composites](image)

The temperature variation on friction surface measured by thermocouple during braking was shown in Fig. 5. The highest temperature of the surface was 445 °C at $t=6.2$ s.

Braking is a mutual interaction process between two relative motional worn surfaces, so the tribological characteristics are usually determined by microstructure and worn surface. The optical micrographs of the friction surface of C/C−SiC composites were shown in Figs. 6 and 7. It can be seen that there were asperities on the friction surface (shown in Fig. 6(a)) before tribological tests.

![Fig. 6 3D morphologies of friction surface before (a) and after (b) tribological tests](image)

The 3D morphologies of the friction surface before and after tribological tests are shown in Fig. 6. The surface before tribological tests (Fig. 6(a)) showed asperities, while the surface after tribological tests (Fig. 6(b)) was smoother.

![Fig. 7 Morphologies of friction surface before (a) and after (b) tribological tests](image)

The optical micrographs of the friction surface before (Fig. 7(a)) and after (Fig. 7(b)) tribological tests are shown. The surface before tribological tests (Fig. 7(a)) revealed the characteristic of asperities, while the surface after tribological tests (Fig. 7(b)) showed cracks and continuous films.
tests. After tests, continuous friction films appeared on the friction surface (shown in Fig. 7(b)). These films played a lubricated role during braking. And it was noticed that cracks were generated, propagated, and converged during the braking process compared with the surface before tests shown in Fig. 7(a).

In the early stage, the asperities on the friction surface made the surface very rough, so the friction coefficient was high. Then, under the action of pressure, shear stress and high rotational speed, the asperities fractured and some of asperities were extruded and deformed into friction films which lubricated the contact surface. The continuous films formed in the middle stage which led to low and steady friction coefficient. In the later stage, the rotational speed and shear stress decreased sharply. As a result, some of friction films fell off while new films were increasingly difficult to be formed, leading to an increased friction coefficient at the end of braking process.

4.3 Temperature field simulation results

Temperature determines the thermo-mechanical behavior of the structure. During braking, temperature and thermal gradient levels are very high. This generates stress and deformation manifested by the appearance and the accentuation of cracks. It is then important to precisely determine the temperature field of the brake disc. During braking, temperature is not stabilized on the disc, so a transient analysis is required [11,20].

In this work, brake conditions in simulation were listed in Table 2, and some general properties of C/C–SiC composites were listed in Table 3 [21,22].

Table 2 Brake conditions in simulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brake time, t/s</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial rotational speed, (n/(\text{r} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}))</td>
<td>6500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceleration, (a/(\text{rad} \cdot \text{s}^{-2}))</td>
<td>68.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braking pressure, (P/\text{MPa})</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of friction, (\mu)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 General properties of brake sample of C/C–SiC composites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density, (\rho/(\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}))</td>
<td>2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity, (\alpha/(\text{W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}))</td>
<td>52 (/), 21 (\⊥)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat capacity, (c/(\text{J} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}))</td>
<td>800–1600 (20–700 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elasticity module, (E/\text{GPa})</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTE (∥), (\beta_1/(10^{-6} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}))</td>
<td>-1–2.5 (100–1400 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTE (\⊥), (\beta_2/(10^{-6} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}))</td>
<td>2.5–6.5 (100–1400 °C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(/\) and \⊥ were defined as the sampling directions parallel and perpendicular to the surface of the carbon felt or cloth, respectively; CTE is coefficient of thermal expansion.

Figure 8 showed the variation of the maximum temperature versus time during braking for the C/C–SiC composites. It was noticed that the temperature increased rapidly until \(T_{\text{max}} = 463.4 \, ^\circ\text{C}\) at \(t=4.7\, \text{s}\). Then, it began to decrease over time. Indeed, huge heat generated on friction surface during braking leads to the increase of the surface temperature. As the rotational speed decreases, the heat flux also declines. This heat on one aspect will be conducted into bodies because of heat conduction, and on the other aspect will be dissipated in air due to heat convection. The processes of heat transfer will be intensified as the thermal gradient around surfaces increases. When the heat generation on the friction surface is less than the heat loss, temperature will decrease. Compared with the experimental temperature curve of C/C–SiC composites, it can be observed that the temperatures in simulation are pretty close to the experimental ones, which proves the validity of the finite element method.

![Fig. 8 Maximum temperature variation of whole sample during braking](image)

However, there were still some deviations between the simulation and experiment for the temperature on friction surface. As a whole, the simulation temperature was higher than the experimental temperature, because in experiment, heat radiation was also a way of thermal dissipation beside the heat convection. The wear debris ejected from the friction surface was also a form of thermal dissipation. These were ignored in the simulation, thus the experimental temperature increased more slowly compared with the simulation results.

Figure 9 showed the instantaneous temperature distribution of the whole body (Fig. 9(a)) and friction surface (Fig. 9(b)) at the moment when the temperature reached the maximum value. It was shown that the maximum temperature (463.4 °C at \(t=4.7\, \text{s}\)) appeared on the friction surface due to the heat generation. The temperature gradient in the axial direction was greater than that in the radial direction because the conductivity...
parallel to the carbon fiber was larger than that perpendicular to carbon fiber. Temperature on the friction surface was variable with radius and increased firstly from the center to surrounding and then decreased. It was because the heat flux grew with radius and there was huge heat convection when the radius got to the maximum.

**Fig. 9** Temperature distributions of C/C–SiC composites for whole body (a) and friction surface (b) during braking

### 4.4 Thermal-stress field simulation results

During braking, the dissipation of the frictional heat generated is important. Temperature changes of the brake cause axial and radial deformation, and then in turn, the change in shape affects the contact between the rotating ring and stationary ring. In this study, the structural and thermal results were coupled to analyze the thermal-stress field.

Figure 10 showed the variation of maximum thermal-stress versus time for the whole body and friction surface during braking. It was shown that there were three peaks on the thermal-stress curve for the whole body, and the first peak occurred when the thermal-stress on friction surface got to the maximum (about 11.5 MPa at \( t = 0.45 \) s). In the early braking stage, the heat flux at the beginning was high and the heat conduction firstly happened on the friction surface. This led to the great thermal gradient on friction surface, which caused high thermal-stress. The second peak was when the thermal-stress approached to 11.8 MPa at \( t = 1.56 \) s. Another peak appeared at \( t = 2.28 \) s and the thermal-stress got to 11.9 MPa.

**Fig. 10** Maximum thermal-stress variation of whole sample and friction surface during braking

Figure 11 presented the distributions of the thermal-stress for the whole body and friction surface when the thermal-stress reached the first peak value in Fig. 10. It was also proved that the first peak appeared on the friction surface (as shown in Fig. 11(a)). For the friction surface, the thermal-stress distribution (Fig. 11(b)) showed the same tendency as that of the temperature distribution.

**Fig. 11** Thermal-stress distributions of whole sample (a) and friction surface (b) during braking at \( t = 0.45 \) s
5 Conclusions

(1) The average dynamic friction coefficient C/C−SiC composites during braking was 0.36. And the temperature curve showed that the highest temperature on the friction surface was 445 °C.

(2) The friction films formed during braking, which led to decreasing of friction coefficient in the middle braking stage. And the cracks propagated and converged on the friction surface during braking, thus life and performance of the brake were affected.

(3) The simulated temperature field on the friction surface showed that the highest temperature was 463.4 °C, which agreed well with the experimental result. The axial thermal gradient was greater than radial gradient because the axial thermal conductivity was less than the radial thermal conductivity. The maximum thermal-stress value on the friction surface was 11.5 MPa. The thermal-stress distributions on the friction surface were symmetric with the temperature distributions.

(4) It was predicted that, the cracks were generated and propagated mainly in the early stage of the braking process because of the high rotational speed, high and unstable friction coefficient and high thermal-stress in this period.
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摘 要: 为了研究 C/C–SiC 复合材料的摩擦磨损性能及制动过程中的热应力行为，对 C/C–SiC 复合材料进行模拟高铁制动条件下的自对偶摩擦磨损测试，同时在 ANSYS 有限元模拟软件中对制动过程的温度及结构场进行耦合。结果显示，C/C–SiC 复合材料在制动过程中的静摩擦因数(0.68)以及动摩擦因数(平均值为 0.36)；摩擦面的最高温度为 445 °C。模拟的温度场结果表明，摩擦面的最高温度为 463 °C。模拟热应力场结果显示，制动过程中摩擦面上的最大热应力为 11.5 MPa。摩擦面上的温度与热应力分布呈相似趋势。
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