Effects of cooling rate on bio-corrosion resistance and mechanical properties of Mg–1Zn–0.5Ca casting alloy
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Abstract: Mg–1Zn–0.5Ca alloys were prepared by traditional steel mould casting and water-cooled copper mould injection casting at higher cooling rate. Microstructure, mechanical properties and bio-corrosion resistance of two alloys were contrastively investigated. Grain size reduces remarkably and microstructure becomes homogenous when raising cooling rate. The bio-corrosion behaviour in 3.5% sodium chloride solution (3.5% NaCl) and Hank’s solution at 37°C was investigated using electrochemical polarization measurement and the results indicate that the alloy prepared at higher cooling rates has better corrosion resistance in both types of solution. Further mass loss immersion test in Hank’s solution reveals the same result. The reason of corrosion resistance improvement is that raising cooling rate brings about homogeneous microstructure, which leads to micro-galvanic corrosion alleviation. The tensile test results show that yield strength, ultimate tensile strength and elongation are improved by raising cooling rate and the improvement is mainly due to grain refinement.
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1 Introduction

Mg and its alloys have been investigated as implant materials for almost two centuries, including the AE21, AM60, WE43 and Mg–RE [1,2], because of good bio-compatibility and bio-degradation in the bio-environment, excellent mechanical properties such as specific strength and elastic modulus which are similar to human bone [3]. Since Ca is a major component of human bone and Zn is an essential element in the human body, Mg–Ca [4], Mg–Zn [5,6] and Mg–Zn–Ca [7] alloys have attracted researchers’ attention and become a hot-button issue for a very long period. However, the main demerits of these alloys as implant materials consist of their poor corrosion resistance and mechanical properties which are not beneficial to their clinical applications. Mechanical properties Mg–Zn–Ca alloy can be improved by heat treatment and extrusion [8]. Surface modification is an effective approach to reduce the bio-corrosion rate such as fluoride treatment [9], alkaline heat treatment [10] and hydroxyapatite coating deposition [11].

Raising cooling rate is an important method in materials preparation process. Some previous studies [12–14] investigated the effect of cooling rate on corrosion behavior and mechanical properties of Mg alloys and results show that raising cooling rate can enhance mechanical properties and corrosion resistance. It is found that raising cooling rate can bring about microstructural refinement and homogeneity, and increase the solid solubility of alloying elements into the magnesium matrix. Additionally, microstructural refinement and homogeneity could lead to chemical homogeneity, which in turn enhanced corrosion resistance and mechanical properties.
resistance [13,14]. However, bio-corrosion behavior and mechanical properties of low alloyed Mg–Zn–Ca alloy system prepared at high cooling rate have been rarely reported. In this work, Mg–1Zn–0.5Ca alloy was prepared at high cooling rate, and then its microstructure, mechanical and bio-corrosion properties were studied compared with traditional casting alloy. The aim is to explore the effects of cooling rate on bio-corrosion resistance and mechanical properties of Mg–1Zn–0.5Ca alloy.

2 Experimental

Commercially pure 99% Mg, high-purity 99.99% Zn and 99.9% Ca (mass fraction) were used to prepare Mg–Zn–Ca alloys. Mg ingot was melted at 953 K in a resistance furnace under protection of an anti-oxidizing flux and then calculated amounts of Zn and Ca (encased in Mg block) were added to the Mg melt and hold for 30 min to ensure that Zn and Ca get melted and diffused sufficiently. The melt was heated up to 993 K and kept for 20 min, and then cast into a steel mould. The alloy prepared by traditional method was labeled as Alloy A. Small quantity of Alloy A was re-melted in a quartz tube filled with high-purity 99.999% argon (volume fraction), and then injected into a water-cooled copper mould by high-pressure argon. The acquired alloy was marked as Alloy B with dimensions of 80 mm × 15 mm × 2 mm. The preparation process is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Samples for microstructure observation and mechanical testing were cut from the ingots of Alloys A and B. The microstructure was observed by optical microscope (Olympus-GX71), and scanning electron microscope (SEM, Hitachi-S4800) equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS). Phase analysis was conducted by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker-D8).

Mechanical testing samples had a gauge length of 15 mm, width of 5 mm and a thickness of 2 mm. Tensile test was performed on INSTRON®-5569 (static load cell ±5 kN) tensile machine with a displacement rate of 1 mm/min at room temperature. Tensile strain curves were recorded by video extensometer with resolution of 1 μm. Each alloy was tested for at least three samples.

For corrosion testing, samples of size 10 mm × 10 mm × 2 mm were polished stepwise up to 4000 grit, and then cleaned with acetone and dried with hot air. Polarization and immersion test were conducted in 3.5% NaCl and Hank’s solution prepared with bi-distilled water and high-purity chemicals. Hank’s solution preparation was according to Ref. [15] and its composition is listed in Table 1.

### Table 1 Chemical composition of Hank’s solution (mg/L)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NaCl</th>
<th>KCl</th>
<th>Na₂HPO₄</th>
<th>KH₂PO₄</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>NaHCO₃</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Polarization test samples were mounted in epoxy resin with only 1 cm² surface area exposed. The electrolyte volumes of 3.5% NaCl and Hank’s solutions were 500 mL and they were kept at 37 °C in water bath. Electrochemical measurements were carried out in a three-electrode system with a scan rate of 0.1 mV/s, which consist of a saturated calomel electrode as the reference electrode, a platinum electrode as the counter and the sample as the working electrode. The polarization measurements started at −2.0 V below the open circuit potential after the samples had been immersed in the solution for 5 min and corrosion potential ϕ corr and corrosion current density J corr were obtained through LK9805 measurement system. All potentials were referred to the SCE and each experiment was repeated twice to check the reproducibility of the results.

The alloy specimens were immersed in 3.5% NaCl and Hank’s solution respectively at 37 °C for 30 h, and then corrosion product was analyzed by XRD and EDS. In mass loss immersion test, the volume of Hank’s solution was 800 mL and the solution was kept at 37 °C. The alloy specimens were weighed and then immersed in Hank’s solution. After 120 h, they were cleaned with chromate acid for 5 min to remove the corrosion products and were weighed again. The corrosion rates were obtained by

\[ R = m/At \]

where \( R \) is the corrosion rate (g/(cm²·h)); \( m \) is the weight loss (g), \( A \) is the sample area exposed to solution (cm²), and \( t \) is the exposure time (h) [16].
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Microstructure

Optical microstructures of Alloys A and B are shown in Fig. 2. Coarse columnar structure is the main solidification morphology of Alloy A, as seen in Fig. 2(a) and grain size is over several hundred microns. For Alloy B seen in Fig. 2(b), fine equiaxed dendrites form and the size is about 20 µm. The grain refinement is observably significant via raising cooling rate. Figure 2 shows the XRD results of two alloys and only α-Mg phase can be detected.

Phase morphology is further analyzed by SEM. In Fig. 4(a), it can be found that bright latticed-shape substance distributes in inner grain. At a higher magnification in Fig. 4(b), it can be found that the latticed-shape substance contains two different parts. One is alloying element segregation in matrix and presents white color in backscattered electron image. Select a spot A in the above white region and the chemical composition is identified by EDS. The result shows that the white region contains excessive Zn in Fig. 4(c). It can be confirmed that Zn element is segregated and forms Zn-enrichment region in solid solution. The other is a lot of finer particles-like second phases which disperse homogeneously and the size is dozens of nanometers. It is reported [17,18] that the size, morphology and distribution of the second phase are changed greatly in Mg–Zn alloys when Ca element is added and a new kind of precipitate phase Mg3Zn6Ca2 is found. A lot of previous studies confirmed that three different phase reactions were detected in the low-alloyed Mg–Ca–Zn alloy system: α-Mg, Mg2Ca and Mg3Zn6Ca2. ZHANG and YANG [19] reported that eutectic phase (α-Mg + Mg2Ca + Mg3Zn6Ca2) was formed when alloy’s composition Zn/Ca mole ratio was less than 1.0:1–1.2:1 and eutectic phase (α-Mg + Mg3Zn6Ca2) was formed when alloy’s composition Zn/Ca mole ratio was more than 1.0:1–1.2:1. BAKHSHEISHI-RAD et al [20] further determined the forming temperature point of above three phases and above-mentioned critic point of alloy’s composition, Zn/Ca mole ratio, was 1.23:1 in the Mg–0.5Ca–αZn alloy system. In the present study, second phase peaks are weaker and might be hidden in background noise in the XRD results of alloys in Fig. 3. The reason should be that the quantity of second phase is too small to be detected. In Fig. 4(d), the EDX results of the particles-like phase show that it was composed of Mg, Zn and Ca elements, 97.61%, 0.68% and 1.71% respectively. It can be confirmed that the phase contains Mg3Zn6Ca2. The mole ratio of Ca/Zn was 2.5:1, which is more than the Ca/Zn mole ratio of 1.5:1 of Mg3Zn6Ca2. The surplus Ca can confirm the existence of Mg2Ca phase. According to previous studies and above discussion, the particle-like phase can be confirmed eutectic phase (α-Mg + Mg2Ca + Mg3Zn6Ca2).

For Alloy B in Fig. 5, above bright latticed-shape substance is not obvious in inner grain in backscattered electron image. In Fig. 5(b) of higher magnification image, the particles-like phases are not found and only a few gray areas are observed. Select a spot C in the above gray areas and the chemical composition is identified by EDS. The results show that gray area is also segregated Zn element enrichment region in Fig. 5(c). Due to raising cooling rate, massive eutectic phase does not have enough time to precipitate during solidification process. Similarly, Zn atoms do not have enough time to be segregated and have been solvated in the matrix during
solidification process. Thus, quantity of Zn enrichment regions is less than that of Alloy A. Most Zn and Ca atoms have been solid-solvated homogeneously in the matrix. In conjunction with grain size, it can be confirmed that raising cooling rate can bring about microstructural refinement and homogeneity, and increase the solid solubility of alloying elements into the magnesium matrix.
3.2 Bio-corrosion properties

The electrochemical polarization curves of different samples in 3.5% NaCl and in Hanks’ solutions are shown in Fig. 6. The electrochemical tests. However, more seriously corroded morphology is observed on the surface of Alloy A with thick and long flakes. On the contrary, the flakes on Alloy B are thin and short. A lot of big and bright serious corrosion areas are found on the surface of Alloy A, while corrosion is reduced and alleviated on Alloy B surface. The surface morphologies of two alloys after the electrochemical tests in Hank’s solution are illustrated separately in Figs. 7(c) and (d). In Alloy A, large corrosion spot is found and a lot of bright particle-like corrosion products disperse homogeneously on the surface. In Alloy B, the large corrosion spot is not found and the quantity of bright particles-like corrosion products decreases.

Table 2 Electrochemical data and immersion corrosion rate of different samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>( \varphi_{corr}/\text{mV} )</th>
<th>( J_{corr}/(\text{mA} \cdot \text{cm}^2) )</th>
<th>( \beta_c/(\text{V-decade}^{-1}) )</th>
<th>( \beta_a/(\text{V-decade}^{-1}) )</th>
<th>( R_p/\Omega )</th>
<th>( R/(\text{g-cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alloy A</td>
<td>3.5% NaCl</td>
<td>-1654</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>-7.60</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>26.4x10^-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloy B</td>
<td>3.5% NaCl</td>
<td>-1568</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>-7.46</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloy A</td>
<td>Hanks’ solution</td>
<td>-1665</td>
<td>24.04</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>-7.47</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>6.79x10^-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloy B</td>
<td>Hanks’ solution</td>
<td>-1501</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>-6.36</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6 Electrochemical polarization curves of different samples: (a) In 3.5% NaCl; (b) In Hanks’ solution.

Fig. 7 Surface morphologies of two alloys after electrochemical tests in different solutions: (a) Alloy A, in 3.5% NaCl; (b) Alloy B, in 3.5% NaCl; (c) Alloy A, in Hank’s solution; (d) Alloy B, in Hank’s solution

Fig. 8 XRD patterns of corrosion product of two alloys after immersion for 30 h in different solutions: (a) Alloy A, in 3.5% NaCl; (b) Alloy B, in 3.5% NaCl; (c) Alloy A, in Hanks’ solution; (d) Alloy B, in Hanks’ solution

Fig. 9 EDS results of corrosion product of Hank’s solution immersion

The corrosion rates of Alloys A and B after 120 h Hanks’ solution immersion are $26.4 \times 10^{-5}$ and $6.79 \times 10^{-5}$ g/(cm$^2$·h), respectively and listed in Table 2. The corrosion rate of Alloy B is much slower than that of Alloy A and is about 1/4 that of the Alloy A. From above immersion test results, corrosion resistance of Alloy B is superior to that of Alloy A both in 3.5% NaCl and in Hank’s solutions, which is in agreement with the electrochemical test. Alloy B has more refined and homogeneous microstructure due to preparation by raising cooling rate, which leads to chemical homogeneity and in turn enhances corrosion resistance. Previous studies [19] reported that the electrochemical potentials of the phases are as follows: $\text{Mg}_x\text{Zn}_y\text{Ca}_z>$.
α-Mg> Mg₃Ca. Eutectic phase of Alloy A is composed of α-Mg, Mg₃Ca and Mg₃Zn₆Ca₂. At the beginning, Mg₃Zn₆Ca₂ phase acts as cathode and Mg₃Ca phase acts as anode, and Mg₃Ca phase is corroded faster than α-Mg and Mg₃Zn₆Ca₂. After Mg₃Ca phase is corroded off, new galvanic couple occurs, and Mg₃Zn₆Ca₂ acts as cathode and α-Mg phase acts as anode. The Mg₃Zn₆Ca₂ remains while α-Mg phase around Mg matrix is corroded. Similarly, there are electrochemical potentials between Zn enrichment region Mg matrix, and micro anode-cathode sites form in Alloy A as well. Thus, more eutectic phases and Zn enrichment regions cause more serious corrosion. For Alloy B, grain refinement is significant and will result in the increase of the amount of grain boundary, which is detrimental to the corrosion resistance of the alloy. However, eutectic phase (α-Mg + Mg₃Ca + Mg₃Zn₆Ca₂) has no time to precipitate and Zn atoms have not enough time to be segregated and form less enrichment regions in the matrix by raising cooling rate. Reduction of micro anode-cathode sites leads to alleviate corrosion and the corrosion resistance of Alloy B is improved. Microstructure homogeneity and micro-galvanic corrosion alleviation are the reasons of corrosion resistance improvement of Alloy B.

3.3 Mechanical properties

Typical stress–strain curves of Alloys A and B at room temperature are shown in Fig. 10 and corresponding mechanical properties are listed in Table 3. The ultimate tensile strength and yield strength of Alloy A are 125.2 MPa and 38.2 MPa, respectively. The ultimate tensile strength and yield strength of Alloy B increase remarkably. The values of ultimate tensile strength and yield strength are 194.4 and 85.6 MPa, which are 1.55 times and 2.24 times to those of Alloy A, respectively. Compared to Alloy A, elongation of Alloy B improves from 7.8% to 14.9%. Grain size and precipitation are two factors influencing mechanical properties of two alloys. For Alloy A, the fine second eutectic phase precipitates are beneficial to enhance mechanical properties. However, the gains size of Alloy B is reduced about dozens of times than that of Alloy A. The Hall–Petch relationship [23] \( \sigma = \sigma_0 + k_d^{1/2} \), where \( \sigma_0 \) and \( k \) are constants for a particular material, which describes the functional relationship between the yield strength \( \sigma \) and the average grain diameter \( d \), has been universally applied to many materials. A fine-grained material is harder and stronger than the coarse one. The fine-grained materials have greater total grain boundary, which can effectively hinder basal plane slip and inhibit the deformation under mechanical stress. Thus, grain refinement is the main reason for the improvement of mechanical properties of Alloy B.

4 Conclusions

1) Eutectic phase (α-Mg+Mg₃Ca+Mg₃Zn₆Ca₂) and Zn element enrichment regions were found in micrographs of Mg–1Zn–0.5Ca alloy prepared by traditional steel mould casting. Grain size was remarkably reduced by raising cooling rate. Zn element enrichment regions were observably reduced and above eutectic phases were not found via raising cooling rate.

2) The alloy prepared by water-cooled copper mould injection casting has more positive \( \varphi_{corr} \), lower \( J_{corr} \) and higher \( K_p \) value than by traditional steel mould casting both in 3.5% NaCl and in Hank’s solutions. The corrosion rate of the former is about 1/4 that of the latter in mass loss immersion test. The electrochemistry and immersion corrosion tests results indicated that raising cooling rate can improve corrosion resistance both in 3.5% NaCl and Hank’s solutions. It is because more homogeneous microstructure can be obtained by raising cooling rate, which leads to chemical homogeneity and micro-galvanic corrosion alleviation.

3) The yield strength, ultimate tensile strength and elongation of the alloy were also improved by raising cooling rate. Grain refinement is the main reason for the improvement.
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摘要：采用传统钢模铸造法和冷却速率的水冷铜模喷铸法两种方法制备 Mg–1Zn–0.5Ca 合金，对合金的组织、力学性能和生物腐蚀性能进行对比研究。研究结果表明，提高冷却速度，合金的组织更均匀，晶粒尺寸显著细化。

在 3.5% NaCl 溶液和模拟体液 Hank's 溶液中采用电位极化和浸泡失重测试合金的耐生物腐蚀性，结果显示高

冷却速率下铸造的合金在两种溶液中均具有较好的耐生物腐蚀性，其原因为提高冷却速率使合金组织更均匀，原

电池腐蚀效应减弱。提高冷却速度，合金的屈服强度、抗拉强度和伸长率等力学性能得到提高。晶粒细化是其力

学性能提高的主要原因。
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