Effect of melt superheat on structural uniformity of lotus-type porous metals prepared by unidirectional solidification
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Abstract: Structural uniformity is an important parameter influencing physical and mechanical properties of lotus-type porous metals prepared by directional solidification of metal-gas eutectic (Gasar). The effect of superheat on structural uniformity as well as average porosity, pore morphology of porous metals was studied. The experimental results show that, when the superheat is higher than a critical value ($\Delta T_c$), the bubbling or boiling phenomenon will occur and the gas bubbles will form in the melt and float out of the melt. As a result, the final porosity will decrease. In addition, a higher superheat will simultaneously cause a non-uniform porous structure due to the pores coalescence and bubbling phenomenon. Finally, a theoretical model was developed to predict the critical superheat for the hydrogen to escape from the melt and the corresponding escapement ratio of hydrogen content. Considering the escapement of hydrogen, the predicted porosities are in good agreement with the experimental results.
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1 Introduction

Porous metallic materials are a kind of attractive engineering materials owing to their unusual combinations of physical and mechanical properties, such as low density, sound absorption, impact energy absorption capacity, heat dissipation and heat insulation ability. Various fabrication methods for porous materials were developed including powder metallurgy, casting, metal depositing, melt foaming with gas bubbling and so on [1,2]. However, the pores are usually random and it is hard to control the spatial distribution and structure parameters of pores fabricated by these methods.

A new type of porous metals with ordered and aligned long cylindrical pores was fabricated by metal/gas unidirectional solidification (also called “Gasar”) in a pressurized hydrogen and/or nitrogen [3,4]. This processing technique utilizes an invariant reaction of the so-called “metal/gas eutectic reaction” in which the melt is solidified into a solid solution and a gas phase. When the melt is solidified directionally, the supersaturated gas separates from the solid metal due to its solubility difference in the solid and liquid metal, then forms as gas bubbles growing simultaneously with the solid phase, as shown in Fig. 1. Lotus-type porous metals have some unique performances due to their regular porous structure. In particular, lotus-type porous metals have superior mechanical properties compared to the conventional porous metals [5], and superior heat dissipating capacity for micro-channel heat sink application [6–9].

In Gasar process, the gas pressure and the melt temperature (superheat) as well as the solidifying velocity are the dominant processing parameters influencing the structural uniformity as well as the final porosity and pore size of the porous structure. Unsuitable processing parameters can cause the secondary nucleation, growth interruption, coalescence, even bubble floating and escaping phenomenon of gas pores, finally cause non-uniform porous structure and inferior mechanical and heat dissipating performance. In the past, most researches were concentrated on the effects of the
gas pressure and the solidifying velocity \([10–16]\). But few researches have been made on the effect of the melt superheat. Only APPRILL et al. \([11]\) made experimental study on Gasar solidification of Ni and Inconel 718 alloy under a higher superheat condition and observed the bubble floating phenomenon. Systematic work, especially on the theoretical analysis of the effect of the superheat on the porous structural parameters was scarce.

In order to prepare lotus-type porous metals with uniform structure, magnesium was selected as the representative system to study the influence of the melt temperature on the pore nucleation, growth, coalescence and the final pore morphology and porosity, especially the floating and escaping phenomenon of hydrogen bubbles through combination of experimental and theoretical analysis.

## 2 Experimental

A schematic diagram of the apparatus for preparing louts-type porous metals is shown in Fig. 1. It consists of a graphite crucible (100 mm in inside diameter and 200 mm in height) surrounded by a heating coil and a ceramic mold with a water-cooled copper bottom. These are installed in a high-pressure chamber. High-purity magnesium is melted in the crucible in vacuum, and then high-pressure hydrogen or gas mixture of hydrogen and argon is introduced into the chamber. The melt is held for 1 h at a given superheat (or melt temperature) to ensure that the hydrogen dissolved into the magnesium melt. Then the graphite rod is lifted up to let the melt flow into the adiabatic ceramic mold with a water cooling copper chiller to solidify directionally. The ingot weighted 450 g is a cylinder with 60 mm in diameter and its porosity is evaluated through Archimedes’ principle. Table 1 shows the selected processing parameters together with the measured porosities of the prepared magnesium ingots. The aim is to study the influence of the superheat \((\Delta T)\) and the partial pressure of argon \((p_{Ar})\). It should be noted that the porosity is the average value of three samples prepared at the same processing parameters.

### Table 1 Processing parameters together with porosity \((\varepsilon)\) of porous magnesium ingots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>(p_{H2}/\text{MPa})</th>
<th>(p_{Ar}/\text{MPa})</th>
<th>(\Delta T/\text{K})</th>
<th>(\varepsilon/%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>43.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>32.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cylindrical ingot is firstly sectioned into two equal parts along the central axis by the spark erosion wire cutting, then one part is sliced into several pieces at different heights (20, 40, 60 mm) for pore size and pore distribution observation on the cross sections. The samples are firstly polished with 800 grit to 2000 grit SiC papers, then degreased with acetone and cleaned with dilute HCl by ultrasonic cleaning. Then, the samples are observed with optical microscope and the images of the cross-sections are taken for porosity and average pore diameter evaluation by the image analysis software.

## 3 Experimental results

Figure 2 shows the experimental porosities together with corresponding theoretical results of porous magnesium ingots prepared at different superheats and gas pressures.
(scattered symbols) and the predicted results (curves) of the porous magnesium ingots prepared at different superheats ($\Delta T$) and gas pressures. It can be seen from Fig. 2 that, when the gas pressure (hydrogen and argon partial pressure) keeps constant, the porosity firstly increases linearly, then approaches flat even descends slightly with increasing superheat. In other words, the inflection point of the porosity corresponds to a critical superheat ($\Delta T_c$) above which the porosity will not increase anymore. In addition, it can also be found that the inflection point of the porosity moves right and the corresponding critical superheat increases with increasing partial pressure of argon ($p_{Ar}$) when the partial pressure of hydrogen ($p_{H2}$) keeps as constant.

For magnesium melt [17], the solubility equation ($C_0=\exp(-2532.75/T_i+6.55)(p_{H2})^{1/2}$) of hydrogen describes that a higher temperature or superheat leads to a higher hydrogen solubility, then should lead to a higher theoretical porosity according to the ideal gas law. But the experimental results do not completely respond to the theoretical evolution trend. The experimental porosity will not increase anymore when the superheat exceeds a critical value. APPRILL et al [11] observed the bubble floating (“bubbling” or “boiling”) phenomenon during the preparing process of Gasar Ni under a higher superheat condition. So here we have reason to believe that part of the dissolved hydrogen escapes from the melt due to the bubbling or boiling phenomenon if the superheat exceeds a critical value.

Figure 3 shows the pore morphology and distribution on the cross sections at different heights of some selected porous magnesium ingots. It can be seen from Figs. 3(a)–(d) that, in the pure hydrogen atmosphere ($p_{H2}=0.2$ MPa, $p_{Ar}=0$ MPa), when the superheat exceeds 150 K, many big pores appear at the bottom and the top of the ingot. In this case, the porous structure becomes non-uniform. When the superheat further is raised up to 200 K, more and more extraordinary big pores form and the structural non-uniformity further aggravates. This kind of structural evolution corresponds directly to the porosity evolution displayed in Fig. 2. In other words, there exists a same critical superheat ($\Delta T_c$), above which some extraordinary big pores appear and non-uniform porous structure forms, simultaneously, and the porosity does not increase further. At the same time, it can be seen from Figs. 3(c)–(d) that most of the big pores are formed owing to the coalescence of several gas pores. This kind

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height ($\Delta T$)</th>
<th>15 mm</th>
<th>35 mm</th>
<th>55 mm</th>
<th>75 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) 50 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) 100 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) 150 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) 200 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{H2}=0.2$ MPa, $p_{Ar}=0$ MPa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) 200 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{H2}=0.2$ MPa, $p_{Ar}=0.1$ MPa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) 200 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{H2}=0.2$ MPa, $p_{Ar}=0.3$ MPa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3 Pore morphologies and distribution on cross sections at different heights of porous magnesium ingots prepared at different superheats and gas pressures
of coalescence phenomenon is very noticeable especially when the superheat is 200 K, as shown in Fig. 3(d). Actually, the coalescence of gas pores and the formation of non-uniform porous structure are all related to the bubbling or boiling phenomenon during Gasar solidification at a higher superheat. We will make a detailed theoretical analysis on this problem in the following section.

Figures 3(d)−(f) show the porous structures prepared at different partial pressures of argon when the superheats are all kept as 200 K. It is obvious that the number of the extraordinary big pores decreases ($p_{\text{Ar}}=0.1$ MPa), even disappears ($p_{\text{Ar}}=0.3$ MPa) with increasing partial pressure of argon. In other words, the addition of argon can suppress occurrence of the bubbling or boiling phenomenon and the formation of extraordinary big pores. As a result, the structural uniformity improves. This phenomenon also replies that, when the partial pressure of hydrogen keeps as constant, the addition of argon can enhance the critical superheat for the extraordinary big pores and non-uniform porous structure.

4 Theoretical analysis

4.1 Porosity evolution

The formation of regular lotus-type porous structure depends on the coupled and cooperative growth between the gas pores and the solid phase. In other words, the gas pore should have a steady and moderate growth velocity matching the growth of solid metal phase. But the steady growth will be broken under a higher superheat condition. As shown in Fig. 4, on the one side, the liquid ahead the solidifying front will become supersaturated with hydrogen due to the hydrogen released from the solidified solid. On the other side, during the unidirectional solidifying process, there exists a temperature gradient in the melt ahead the solidifying front. The melt temperature quickly changes from $T_m$ at the solidifying front to $T_p$ (the original melt temperature, $T_p=T_m+\Delta T$) at a certain distance above the solidifying front. Correspondingly, this region will become supersaturated with hydrogen due to the drop of the melt temperature. Here, this region is defined as supersaturation zone. When the hydrogen content in this supersaturation zone exceeds the minimal value for bubble nucleation ($C_{\text{n(min)}}$), as shown in Fig. 4, the hydrogen bubbles will nucleate and grow up.

In the supersaturation zone, the supersaturation degree ($\Delta C$) in the melt ahead the solidifying front can be approximately described as

$$\Delta C = C_0 - C_{\text{n(min)}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

According to Sieverts’ law, the original hydrogen content ($C_0$) in the liquid metal can be expressed by the melt temperature ($T_p$) and the partial pressure of hydrogen ($p_{\text{H}_2}$):

$$C_0 = \xi(T_p) \cdot \sqrt{p_{\text{H}_2}} = \xi(T_m + \Delta T) \cdot \sqrt{p_{\text{H}_2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\xi(T)=\exp(-A/T+B)$ is a coefficient term, $A$ and $B$ are solubility constants, and $T_m$ is the melting point of the metal.

The hydrogen content needed for the bubble embryo to nucleate ($C_n$) in the supersaturation zone must satisfy the following Sieverts’ law:

$$C_n = \xi(T) \cdot \sqrt{p_b}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $p_b$ is the total gas pressure in the nucleated bubble. It is obvious that $C_n$ in the melt also has the same evolution trend as that of the melt temperature distribution. Correspondingly, the minimum of $C_n$ locates at the solidifying front and can be described as [18]

$$C_{n\text{(min)}} = \xi(T_m) \cdot \sqrt{p_b}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where for a new nucleated bubble with a radius of $r$, $p_b$ can be calculated from the equilibrium relationship between internal and external pressures acting on the gas bubble:

$$p_b = p_m + p_s + p_c = p_{\text{H}_2} + p_{\text{Ar}} + \rho_L gh + \frac{2\sigma_{\text{LG}}}{r}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
the static pressure of the melt is \( p_s = \rho_c g h \), \( \rho_c \) is the density of the melt, \( g \) is the gravity acceleration, \( g = 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2 \), \( h \) is the depth of melt above the bubble (\( h \approx 120 \text{ mm} \)), approximating to the average height of the melt. The capillary pressure caused by the surface tension is \( p_c = 2 \sigma_{L/G}/r \), \( \sigma_{L/G} \) is the surface tension at the liquid/gas interface, and \( r \) is the radius of the bubble.

If \( C_0 > C_{n_{\text{min}}} \), the gas bubbles will nucleate at the solidifying interface. After that, the nucleated gas bubble has the potency to float up due to the buoyancy. If the Stokes floating rate \( (v_s) \) of the bubble is faster than the solidifying velocity \( (v_0) \), it cannot be captured by the solidifying front and will float out of the melt. This is the typical bubbling or boiling phenomenon. The final result is the decrease of the effective hydrogen content for the formation of gas pores. So, the critical conditions for the gas bubble floating can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
C_0 &> C_{n(\text{min})} \\
v_s &> v_0
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

According to \( C_0 > C_{n(\text{min})} \), the superheat condition for a bubble to nucleate in the melt can be obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi(T_p) \sqrt{p_{H_2}} &\geq \xi(T_m) \sqrt{p_0} \\
\Rightarrow \xi(T_m + \Delta T) \sqrt{p_{H_2}} &\geq \xi(T_m) \sqrt{p_{H_2} + p_{Ar} + p_s + p_c} \\
\Rightarrow \Delta T &\geq \frac{1}{T_m} - \frac{1}{2A} \ln \left( \frac{p_{H_2} + p_{Ar} + p_s + p_c}{p_{H_2}} \right) - T_m
\end{align*}
\]

If the fluid flow is laminar (Reynolds number below 2000), the floating speed \( (v_s) \) of a spherical bubble can be calculated by Stokes’ law:

\[
v_s = 2r^2g(\rho_L - \rho_G)/9\eta
\]

where \( \eta \) is the viscosity of the melt, \( \rho_G \) is the density of the gas. Compared with \( \rho_L \), \( \rho_G \) is much small and can be ignored.

According to \( v_s > v_0 \), we can get the following relationship:

\[
r \geq \sqrt{\frac{9\eta v_0}{2g\rho_G}}
\]

The solidifying velocity \( (v_0) \) is 0.5–1.0 mm/s during unidirectional solidification of Mg–H system measured in our experiments. If we set \( v_0 = 0.75 \text{ mm/s} \) and substitute the melt viscosity \( \eta = 1.25 \text{ mPa} \cdot \text{s} \) and the melt density \( \rho_L = 1.59 \text{ g/cm}^3 \) into Eq. (9), the minimal radius \( (r_c) \) for the gas bubble to float up can be calculated as 15.1 \( \mu \text{m} \). Thus, the capillary pressure is \( p_c = 2 \sigma_{L/G}/r_c = 0.075 \text{ MPa} \).

In our experiments, the average height \( (h) \) of the melt is 120 mm and the corresponding static pressure \( p_s(p_s = \rho_c g h) \) is about 0.02 MPa. If substituting \( p_c = 0.075 \text{ MPa} \) and \( p_s = 0.02 \text{ MPa} \) into Eq. (7), the critical superheat condition \( (\Delta T_c) \) for a bubble to form and escape from the melt can be written as

\[
\Delta T_c = \frac{1}{T_m} - \frac{1}{2A} \ln \left( \frac{p_{H_2} + p_{Ar} + 0.095}{p_{H_2}} \right) - T_m
\]

In other words, when the superheat exceeds \( \Delta T_c \), the supersaturated hydrogen in the melt ahead the solidifying front will nucleate as bubbles, then float up and escape from the melt. This is called as bubbling or boiling phenomenon. So further increasing the superheat not only can not enhance the total porosity, but also cause the formation of extraordinary big pores and non-uniform porous structure due to the bubbling or boiling phenomenon.

If substituting \( A = 253275 \text{ [17]} \) and \( p_{Ar} = 0.2 \text{ MPa} \) into Eq. (10), Eq. (10) can be used to predict the critical superheat \( (\Delta T_c) \) for hydrogen escapement at different partial pressures of argon. As shown in Fig. 5, the predicted \( \Delta T_c \) increases with increasing \( p_{Ar} \). When \( p_{Ar} = 0, 0.1 \) and 0.3 MPa, the corresponding \( \Delta T_c \) is 61, 122 and 222 K, respectively. This is why many big pores exist (see Figs. 3(c)–(d)) on the cross sections of magnesium ingots prepared at \( \Delta T = 150 \text{ K} \) and 200 K when \( p_{Ar} = 0 \text{ MPa} \). A small amount of big pores still exist at \( \Delta T = 200 \text{ K} \) when \( p_{Ar} = 0.1 \text{ MPa} \). But the big pores will disappear at \( \Delta T = 200 \text{ K} \) when \( p_{Ar} = 0.3 \text{ MPa} \).
hydrogen content in the melt. According to the criterion conditions (Eq. (6)) for hydrogen bubble to nucleate and escape from the melt, the excess hydrogen will escape until the hydrogen concentration equals $C_{\text{min}}$, so the escapement ratio ($\alpha$) can be expressed as

$$\alpha = \frac{C_0 - C_{\text{min}}}{C_0} = \frac{\bar{\rho} \xi (T_m + \Delta T) - \bar{\rho} (T_m - \Delta T) \sqrt{P_{\text{H}_2}}}{\bar{\rho} \xi (T_m + \Delta T) - \bar{\rho} (T_m - \Delta T) \sqrt{P_{\text{H}_2}}}$$

$$\alpha = 1 - \exp \left[ \frac{1}{T_m + \Delta T} \left( \frac{1}{T_m} \right) \right] \cdot \frac{P_{\text{H}_2} + P_{\text{Ar}} + P_a + P_c}{P_{\text{H}_2}} \left( \frac{\bar{\rho} \xi (T_m + \Delta T) - \bar{\rho} (T_m - \Delta T) \sqrt{P_{\text{H}_2}}}{\bar{\rho} \xi (T_m + \Delta T) - \bar{\rho} (T_m - \Delta T) \sqrt{P_{\text{H}_2}}} \right)$$

(11)

Figure 6 shows the evolution of the escapement ratio against the superheat $\Delta T$ under a given $p_{\text{H}_2}$ and $p_{\text{Ar}}$ condition. It is obvious that the hydrogen begins to escape from the melt by the bubbling way when the superheat exceeds a critical value. Once the hydrogen begins to escape, the ratio of the hydrogen content escaping from the melt quickly rises when the superheat increases further. In addition, the calculated result also reveals that, under the same superheat condition, the addition of argon can reduce the escapement ratio and suppress the escapement trend of hydrogen.

According to the ideal gas law as well as the mass conservation law, considering the escapement of the hydrogen, the average porosity of the ingot prepared by Gasar process can be described as [15]

$$\varepsilon = \frac{C_0 (1 - \alpha) \rho_s - \bar{C}_S \rho_L \bar{r} T_m}{\left[ (C_0 (1 - \alpha) \rho_s - \bar{C}_S \rho_L \bar{r} T_m + p_b \rho_L \right]}$$

(12)

where $C_0$ and $\bar{C}_S$ are average hydrogen contents in the liquid and solid metal separately, $\rho_s$ is the solid metal density, $T_m$ is the melting point of the metal, $\alpha$ is the escapement ratio, $p_b$ is the pressure inside the bubble during solidification. The average hydrogen concentration in the solid metal can be calculated using the model developed in Ref. [15]. Substituting the corresponding escapement ratio into Eq. (13), the porosity at different superheats and gas pressures can be calculated. As shown in Fig. 2, the predicted porosities are in good agreement with the experimental results.

4.2 Formation of non-uniform porous structure

For a gas bubble in a liquid supersaturated with gas, its growth rate ($\dot{r}$) has a proportional relationship with the supersaturation degree ($\Delta C$) [19].

$$\dot{r} \propto \Delta C \propto C_0 - C_{\text{min}} \propto \frac{\xi (T_m + \Delta T) - \xi (T_m) \sqrt{P_{\text{H}_2}}}{\xi (T_m + \Delta T) - \xi (T_m) \sqrt{P_{\text{H}_2}}}$$

(13)

It is obvious that the growth rate is just directly proportional to the superheat ($\Delta T$) under the given $p_{\text{H}_2}$ and $p_{\text{Ar}}$ conditions. But it is inversely proportional to the total gas pressure when the superheat is constant. So, under a higher superheat condition, two kinds of phenomena may occur:

1) Coalescence phenomenon: A higher supersaturation degree leads to a higher growth rate, finally causes a bigger gas bubble at the solidifying interface. Simultaneously, these adjacent bubbles grow up quickly and approach with each other (coalescence phenomenon). As a result, many extraordinary big pores form and a non-uniform porous structure is obtained, as that observed in Figs. 3(c)–(d).

2) Bubbling or boiling phenomenon: The bubbles may nucleate in the supersaturation zone and rapidly grow up to a larger size due to the higher supersaturation degree. These large bubbles will float up with a certain velocity ($v_1$) due to buoyancy action. If $v_1 > v_0$ (the moving velocity of the solidifying front), these large bubbles will float up to the top of the melt and escape from the melt, finally result in a lower porosity. Whereas, if $v_1 < v_0$, these bubbles will be captured by the solidifying front and become these extraordinary big pores. So in order to get uniform lotus-type porous structure, a lower superheat is advisable.

When $\Delta T$ and $p_{\text{H}_2}$ all keep as constants, a higher $p_{\text{Ar}}$ will lead to a higher $P_a$ and a lower supersaturation degree, then reduce the growth rate of the gas bubbles. In this case, these two kinds of phenomena mentioned above will weaken even disappear. As a result, as that observed in Figs. 3(e)–(f), the big pores cannot form and a uniform porous structure can be obtained because the argon suppresses the nucleation and growth rate of the hydrogen bubble ahead the solidifying front.

5 Conclusions

1) The experimental results demonstrate that there exists a critical superheat $\Delta T_c$ above which the porosity approaches flat even descends slightly with increasing
superheat. Simultaneously, many big pores form at the bottom and the top of the ingot and the pore distribution uniformity becomes worse.

2) The theoretical analysis reveals that the bubbling or boiling phenomenon will happen and a part of supersaturated hydrogen will escape from the melt when the superheat exceeds a critical value ($\Delta T_c$). So further increasing the superheat will not enhance the total porosity. After considering the escapeament ratio of hydrogen, the predicted porosities are in good agreement with the experimental results.

3) A theoretical model has been developed to predict the critical superheat for hydrogen to escape from the melt. The critical superheat increases with increasing partial pressure of argon at a given partial pressure of hydrogen.

4) A higher superheat can cause the pores coalescence and bubbling or boiling phenomenon during Gasar solidification process. As a result, many big pores arise at the bottom and the top of the ingot and a non-uniform porous structure forms.

5) The addition of argon can suppress the coalescence and bubbling or boiling phenomenon, then help prepare lotus-type porous metals with uniform structure.
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