Oxidation and hot corrosion of electrodeposited Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite
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Abstract: A Ni–7Cr–4Al (mass fraction, %) nanocomposite was fabricated by co-electrodeposition of Ni with Cr (40 nm) and Al (100 nm) nanoparticles from a nickel sulfate bath, and its oxidation at 800 °C in air and hot corrosion under molten 75% Na₂SO₄ + 25% NaCl salts (mass fraction) at 750 °C were investigated. For comparison, Ni–11Cr nanocomposite and Ni-film were also investigated in order to elucidate the effect of Cr nanoparticles. The results indicate that Cr and Al nanoparticles are dispersed in the electrodeposited nanocrystalline Ni grains (in size range of 20–60 nm). Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite exhibits a dramatically increased oxidation resistance compared with Ni–11Cr nanocomposite and Ni-film due to the fast formation of alumina scale, which also improves its hot corrosion resistance under molten 75% Na₂SO₄ + 25% NaCl salts.
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1 Introduction

Ni–Cr–Al coatings exhibit superior resistance against high temperature corrosion due to the selective oxidation of active elements to form thermodynamically stable and slowly growing protective oxides such as Cr₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ [1–5]. They can be used as the overlay coatings or as the bond coating of thermal barrier coatings (TBCs). Techniques applied to fabricate the Ni–Cr–Al coatings include magnetron sputtering [4], plasma spraying [5] and high velocity oxy-fuel spraying [6]. These coatings are highly alloyed and mainly composed of solid solutions or intermetallic compounds. The composite electrodeposition technique is a low-cost and low-temperature method suitable for producing metal matrix composite coatings with excellent properties for diverse purpose such as wear and abrasion resistance. In this process, fine particles or whiskers are suspended in the electrolyte and embedded in the growing metal layer. FOSTER et al [7] firstly prepared Ni–Cr–Al coatings by composite electrodeposition of Ni with pre-alloyed CrAlY microparticles. Unfortunately, the as-deposited coatings were not oxidation- and corrosion-resistant before heat pre-treatment. Recently, PENG et al [8–12] have developed novel Ni–Cr or Ni–Al nanocomposites by co-electrodeposition of Ni with Cr or Al nanoparticles, respectively. For Ni–Al nanocomposite (Al particle size: 75 nm), the critical Al content to form alumina scale was about 13% [9]. For Ni–Cr nanocomposite (Cr particle size: 39 nm), the critical Cr content to form chromia scale was about 9.6% [11]. More recently, Ni–Cr–Al nanocomposites with dispersed Cr and Al nanoparticles have been produced [13,14]. The results indicated that the oxidation resistance of the Ni–Cr–Al nanocomposites profoundly depended on the total (Cr+Al) content, the Cr/Al ratio, and the actual Cr and Al particle size. At a given total (Cr+Al) content, the increase of Cr content significantly decreased the Al content to form alumina scale, a similar effect as the third element effect, which was first suggested by WAGNER [15]. Without heat pre-treatment, Ni–6Cr–7Al nanocomposites exhibited an increased hot corrosion resistance than Ni–6Cr–7Al alloy under molten (0.9Na, 0.1K)₂SO₄ [14]. However, there is still no report about its hot corrosion under molten Na₂SO₄ + NaCl salt. In this work, a Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite was prepared through co-electrodeposition of Ni with Cr and Al nanoparticles, and its oxidation and hot corrosion under molten 75% Na₂SO₄ + 25% NaCl salts were investigated. For comparison, an electrodeposited Ni–11Cr nanocomposite and Ni-film were also performed...
to elucidate the effect of Cr nanoparticles on the oxidation and hot corrosion of Ni–Cr–Al nanocomposite.

2 Experimental

Pure electrolytic nickel (>99.9% purity) specimens with dimensions of 15 mm × 10 mm × 2 mm were ground with 800-grit SiC paper, then were ultrasonically cleaned in acetone before co-electrodeposition. After being ultrasonically cleaned in acetone, they were electrodeposited (on all sides) with a 50–60 μm thick film of Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite from a nickel sulfate bath containing 150 g/L NiSO₄·7H₂O, 15 g/L NH₄Cl, 15 g/L H₃BO₃, 0.1 g/L C₁₂H₂₅NaSO₄, and an appropriate content of Cr (average size: 40 nm) and Al (average size: 100 nm) nanoparticles. During electrodeposition, the nanoparticles were suspended in the bath by a reciprocating perforated plate [8–12]. The current density used was 3 A/dm², the temperature was 35 °C and the pH was 5.5–6.0. The nominal composition of the nanocomposite was Ni–7Cr–4Al. Its actual composition is presented in Table 1. For comparison, a 55 μm thick Ni–11Cr nanocomposite and Ni-film were also electroplated using the same electrolytic bath and the same parameters with and without Cr nanoparticles (average size: 40 nm). After the deposition, the as-deposited samples were rinsed by using distilled water and then ultrasonically cleaned for analysis.

Table 1 Actual compositions of nominal Ni–7Cr–4Al and Ni–11Cr nanocomposites based on EDS analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>w(Cr)/%</th>
<th>w(Al)/%</th>
<th>w(Ni)/%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni–11Cr</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni–7Cr–4Al</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The isothermal oxidation experiments were carried out in air at 800 °C for 30 h. The hot corrosion test was carried out under molten 75% Na₂SO₄ + 25% NaCl salts in a muffle furnace in an ambient atmosphere at 750 °C, which is over the liquid temperature of ~620 °C for the mixed salt. Before exposure, specimens were preheated, followed by deposition with 1.6 mg/cm² salt film using hand-brushed techniques as provided elsewhere [7,8]. Then the specimens were placed in a crucible for hot corrosion testing. The specimens were taken out, cleaned, weighed and recoated with salt after certain time. The duration for the whole hot corrosion test was 30 h. The mass changes of the specimens were measured using an electrobalance with a detection limit of 0.01 mg. The films before and after oxidation and hot corrosion were analyzed by means of TEM, and the X-ray diffraction (XRD). Electroless Ni-plating was plated on the surface of the oxidized specimens to prevent the spallation of the scales for observing cross-sections.

3 Results

3.1 Microstructure

A regular pyramidal structure is observed at the surface of the as-deposited nickel film due to a typical Ni growth texture [16]. With the addition of Cr nanoparticles, the morphology changes to nodular structure, as shown in Fig. 1. From the corresponding cross-section morphology shown in Fig. 2(a), it can be found that the black Cr nanoparticles are in general homogeneously dispersed in the Ni–Cr nanocomposite, although some of them form agglomerated clusters. However, with the addition of Cr and Al nanoparticles, a homogeneous dispersion of nanoparticles occurs, as seen in Fig. 2(b). The XRD patterns shown in Fig. 3 further confirmed the presence of Cr and Al nanoparticles in the Ni–Cr and Ni–Cr–Al nanocomposites. Figure 4 shows the bright-field TEM images of the light area of Ni–Cr and Ni–Cr–Al nanocomposites. The Cr and Al nanoparticles are uniformly dispersed in the nanocrystalline Ni matrix with the grain size of Ni ranging from 20 to 60 nm. No cracks and voids are observed in the nanocomposites.

Fig. 1 Surface morphologies of as-deposited Ni–11Cr (a) and Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite (b)
Fig. 2 Cross-sectional morphologies of as-deposited Ni–11Cr (a) and Ni–7Cr–4Al (b) nanocomposites

Fig. 3 XRD patterns of Ni-film (a), Ni–11Cr (b) and Ni–7Cr–4Al (c) nanocomposites

3.2 Oxidation resistance

Figure 5 shows the oxidation curves of the various samples in air at 800 °C for 30 h. During oxidation and cooling, no spallation occurs for the three samples. Clearly, both Ni–11Cr and Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposites exhibit a lower scaling rate in the first 5 h, especially the Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite. In this stage, a continuous protective scale is expected to have been thermally grown. After this period, a significant mass gain occurs for Ni-film. However, the oxidation rates of the Ni–11Cr and Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite maintain so low that no significant mass gain occurs. These indicate that Ni–11Cr exhibits better oxidation resistance than Ni-film. However, Ni–7Cr–4Al with lower Cr content and minor Al exhibits better oxidation resistance than Ni–11Cr nanocomposite.

The oxides formed are characterized using XRD. Only NiO forms on Ni-film [17]. The scale formed on the Ni–11Cr consists of major Cr2O3 and minor NiCr2O4 and NiO, while the scale formed on the Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite consists of major Al2O3 and minor
Ni(Al,Cr)₂O₄ and NiO scale, as shown in Fig. 6. In addition, the peak intensities of substrate on Ni−7Cr−4Al nanocomposite are much stronger than those on Ni−11Cr nanocomposite, which suggests the formation of a thinner scale on Ni−7Cr−4Al nanocomposite.

Faceted NiO grains with average size of 7.5 μm form on the Ni-film after 30 h oxidation at 800 °C [17]. However, for the Ni−11Cr and Ni−7Cr−4Al nanocomposites, significantly fine oxide appears, as shown in Figs. 7(a) and (b), respectively. Typical faceted and small-grained crystals with average size of 1.5 μm are observed on the oxidized Ni−11Cr nanocomposite. EDS results indicate that the oxide is rich in Cr. In contrast, most of oxides formed on Ni−7Cr−4Al nanocomposite are so fine that their crystal shape is difficult to inspect. EDS results indicate that the small faceted grain is rich in Cr, and the oxides on other area are rich in Al. Based on the EDS and XRD results, it can be concluded that the small faceted grain may be Cr₂O₃, however, the oxides on the other area are Al-rich oxide (Al₂O₃ or Ni (Al, Cr)₂O₄ spinel). These results suggest that Ni−11Cr nanocomposite forms chromia scale, but Ni−7Cr−4Al nanocomposite forms alumina scale.

Figure 8 presents the corresponding cross-sectional morphologies of the oxide scale. It is clear that a thinner scale forms on Ni−7Cr−4Al nanocomposites. The original nanoparticles, as seen in the BEI in Fig. 2, disappear in the nanocomposites even deeply below the scale. At the same time, micro-voids form as a result of the annealing-related volume shrinkage [18−20].

3.3 Hot corrosion resistance

Figure 9 illustrates the hot corrosion kinetics of various samples in air at 750 °C under molten 75% Na₂SO₄ + 25%NaCl salts. Ni-film is corroded very quickly during the first 5 h of exposure. Compared to Ni-film, the hot corrosion of the Ni−11Cr and Ni−7Cr−4Al nanocomposites is not significant. Compared to the Ni−11Cr nanocomposite, Ni−7Cr−4Al nanocomposite exhibits much lower scaling rate and higher hot corrosion resistance.

After hot corrosion, the oxide formed is characterized using XRD, as seen in Fig. 10. Only NiO forms on Ni-film. The scales formed on the Ni−11Cr and Ni−7Cr−4Al nanocomposites consist of major Cr₂O₃ and minor NiO and NiCr₂O₄. In the meantime, stronger peaks of chromate (Na₂CrO₄) are seen as a result of the preferential reaction of chromia with oxides in the molten salt.

Figure 11 presents the cross-sections of various samples after hot corrosion. Severe scale cracking and separation between the scale and the metal occur on
Fig. 8 Cross-sectional morphologies of oxide scales formed on Ni–11Cr (a) and Ni–7Cr–4Al (b) nanocomposites at 800 °C for 30 h

Fig. 9 Mass change vs time curves of various samples exposed in air at 750 °C for 30 h under molten salt of 75% Na₂SO₄+25% NaCl

the Ni-film (Fig. 11(a)). For Ni–11Cr nanocomposite, a thinner chromia scale with cracking and significant internal corrosion occurs. The internal corrosion products are sulfides. In contrast, for Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite, an inner Al-richen layer below outer chromia scale occurs locally with slight internal corrosion.

4 Discussion

4.1 Oxidation resistance

Previous investigations [11,12] showed that Ni–Cr nanocomposites with different Cr contents can develop different scales as addressed below. When oxidation starts, NiO and Cr₂O₃ simultaneously nucleate,
respectively, on the nanocrystalline Ni and on the Cr nanoparticles. After that, the thickening of NiO and lateral coalescing of the Cr$_2$O$_3$ islands begin. If the Cr content is lower than the critical content to form continuous chromia scale, NiO grows rapidly and engulfs the Cr$_2$O$_3$ islands. In this case, the nanocomposite exhibits a higher oxidation rate than Ni-film. Conversely, if the Cr content exceeds the critical content, the initially formed Cr$_2$O$_3$ islands can link together through their lateral growth during a short initial stage to form a continuous chromia layer. This is why Ni–11Cr nanocomposite exhibits better oxidation resistance than Ni-film.

For Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite, Al$_2$O$_3$ also nucleates on Al nanoparticles simultaneously. Then Cr$_2$O$_3$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ islands can link together through their lateral growth during a short initial stage to form a continuous and mixed Cr$_2$O$_3$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ layer. The formation of mixed layer efficiently suppresses the NiO growth. After that, its thickening starts. Because Cr$_2$O$_3$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ can form continuous solid solutions (Cr$_{1-x}$Al$_x$)$_2$O$_3$ [21,22] due to a similar corundum, hexagonal close-packed (HCP) crystal structure, (Cr$_{1-x}$Al$_x$)$_2$O$_3$ scale will form. But with the oxidation, the larger Al flux in comparison to the Cr flux will convert the Al-rich layer into an Al$_2$O$_3$ layer. This is why Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite with lower Cr and minor Al can form an alumina scale and exhibit better oxidation resistance than Ni–11Cr nanocomposite. In long time, the reaction of NiO with Cr$_2$O$_3$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ will cause the formation of Ni(Al,Cr)$_2$O$_4$.

4.2 Hot corrosion

According to GOEBEL et al [23,24] and RAPP et al [25,26], materials may be attacked through the following route: the molten salt penetrates the growing oxide scale through defects like pores and microcracks and arrives at the scale/alloy interface, SO$_4^{2-}$ is then reduced there by the following chemical reaction:

$$2SO_4^{2-} = 2O_2 + 3O_2 + 2S$$

(1)

This leads to the internal sulfidation of the materials [27]. Internal sulfidation causes a reduction of S activity and, as a result, an increase of O$^{2-}$ activity, resulting in basic fluxing of oxide scale. As a negative gradient of the O$^{2-}$ activity exists across the fused salt layer, the dissolution of oxide scale at the scale/salt interface and the reprecipitation of oxide particles at the gas/salt interface can be sustained. For Ni-film, surface NiO layer normally forms at the onset of exposure. The defects in NiO layer make it feasible that the molten salt penetrates into the internal interface, where the increase of O$^{2-}$ activity by Reaction (1) will dissolve the oxide by the reaction below [12,14]:

$$NiO + O^{2-} = NiO_2^{2-}$$

(2)

Reaction (2) again decreases the O$^{2-}$ activity, which further increases the S activity. In this case, the alloy is repeatedly attacked by sulfur and a thicker scale forms, as shown in Fig. 11(a).

For Ni–11Cr nanocomposites, it can grow a chromia scale in a short transient oxidation stage. Chromia is the most important oxide to combat hot corrosion in molten salt with sulfates, because it preferentially reacts with O$^{2-}$ in molten sulfates to form chromate by the following reaction [23–26]:

$$2Cr_2O_3 + 4O^{2-} + 3O_2 = 4CrO_4^{2-}$$

(3)

The chromate will stabilize the melt chemistry, and consequently prevent the dissolution/reprecipitation of the protective oxide scale, thus less internal sulfide occurs, as seen in Fig. 11(b). However, for Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite, less internal sulfides and better hot corrosion resistance are observed. This is possibly associated with two factors. First, the compact protective chromia scale fast forms. Second, Al-rich oxides formed below the Cr-rich oxides layer (see Fig. 11(c)) further prevents the penetration of salts into the scale/nanocomposite interface. To confirm this assumption, a comparison of initial scale on both nanocomposites for 1 h exposure in the hot corrosion condition was performed. As is evident from Fig. 12, a more compact scale forms on Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite. Cross-sectional morphologies also reveal that local alumina oxides below outer chromia form on Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite, as seen in Fig. 13(b).
5 Conclusions

1) Ni–Cr–Al nanocomposites with nanocrystalline Ni grain could be fabricated through coelectrodeposition of Ni with Cr and Al nanoparticles.

2) Compared with Ni–11Cr nanocomposite and Ni-film, Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite exhibits a dramatically high oxidation resistance because Cr2O3 nuclei formed on Cr nanoparticles act as Al2O3 nuclei, which significantly decrease the Al content to form alumina scale and promote the fast formation of alumina scale.

3) The formation of local Al2O3 oxides below outer Cr2O3 layer improves the hot corrosion resistance of Ni–7Cr–4Al nanocomposite under molten 75% Na2SO4+25% NaCl salts.
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摘 要：采用复合电镀技术，通过向普通硫酸镍电镀液中加入纳米 Cr 和 Al 颗粒，在 Ni 基材上制备了一种 Ni–7Cr–4Al（质量分数，%）纳米复合涂层，对其在 800 °C 下的空气氧化和 750 °C 下 75% Na2SO4+25% NaCl 混合熔盐热腐蚀性能进行研究。作为对比，对相同工艺制备的 Ni–11Cr 纳米复合镀层和纯 Ni 镀层的氧化和热腐蚀性能进行分析。Cr 和 Al 纳米颗粒弥散分布在 20–60 nm 的纳米 Ni 中，与 Ni–11Cr 纳米复合镀层和纯 Ni 镀层相比，Ni–7Cr–4Al 纳米复合镀层由于能快速形成氧化铝膜而表现出更优异的抗氧化性能，同时氧化铝膜的快速形成也提高了涂层的热腐蚀性能。
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