Supercritical-hydrothermal accelerated solid state reaction route for synthesis of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode material for high-power Li-ion batteries

Xue-wu LIU$^{1,2}$, Jie TANG$^1$, Xu-song QIN$^2$, Yuan-fu DENG$^3$, Guo-hua CHEN$^{2,4}$

1. School of Chemical Machinery, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China;
2. Center for Green Products and Processing Technologies, Guangzhou HKUST Fok Ying Tung Research Institute, Guangzhou 511458, China;
3. School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, China;
4. Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

Received 8 May 2013; accepted 3 March 2014

Abstract: Synthesis of the spinel structure lithium manganese oxide (LiMn$_2$O$_4$) by supercritical hydrothermal (SH) accelerated solid state reaction (SSR) route was studied. The impacts of the reaction pressure, reaction temperature and reaction time of SH route, and the calcination temperature of SSR route on the purity, particle morphology and electrochemical properties of the prepared LiMn$_2$O$_4$ materials were studied. The experimental results show that after 15 min reaction in SH route at 400 °C and 30 MPa, the reaction time of SSR could be significantly decreased, e.g. down to 3 h with the formation temperature of 800 °C, compared with the conventional solid state reaction method. The prepared LiMn$_2$O$_4$ material exhibits good crystallinity, uniform size distribution and good electrochemical performance, and has an initial specific capacity of 120 mA·h/g at a rate of 0.1 C ($1C = 148 mA/g$) and a good rate capability at high rates, even up to 50 C.
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1 Introduction

Spinel structure LiMn$_2$O$_4$ has received considerable attention in recent years as a cathode material for lithium ion batteries, for its excellence on the safety, cost-effectiveness and eco-friendliness compared with the conventional LiCoO$_2$ [1]. However, the application of the spinel structure LiMn$_2$O$_4$ is hampered by the capacity fading during cycling process due to the dissolution of Mn$^{3+}$ ions into the electrolyte and the Jahn–Teller distortion [2]. The electrochemical properties of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ are intimately related to its phase purity, crystallinity, particle size and morphology [3]. All these physical and electrochemical properties of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ material are determined by the synthetic routes and the associated synthesis conditions. The solid state reaction method first developed by HUNTER [4] is the most popular method for LiMn$_2$O$_4$ synthesis. However, it has difficulties in the control of the growth rate of crystals, morphology and particle size distribution. It also requires excessive calcination time at high temperature, e.g. 72 h at 800 °C as reported by GUYOMARD and TARASCON [5]. Moreover, it suffers from the phase impurity problem, which may lead to significant losses in the specific capacity and the cycling stability of the prepared LiMn$_2$O$_4$. In order to overcome these disadvantages, various techniques are developed, such as spray-drying [6], sol–gel [7], micro-wave [8], micro-emulsion [9] and spray pyrolysis [10] methods. However, these methods have some drawbacks such as expensive raw materials and complicated preparation processes required, making these synthesis methods not appropriate for large-scale LiMn$_2$O$_4$ production.

Recently, supercritical water has received significant attention as a new reaction medium for the
synthesis of nanoparticles due to its unique properties of low viscosity, high diffusivity, zero surface tension, and nontoxicity. Various high quality and highly crystallized nano-sized particles have been synthesized in supercritical water, such as Fe$_3$O$_4$ [11], Zn$_2$SnO$_4$ [12], LiFePO$_4$ [13], LiFePO$_4$/C [14], LiMn$_2$O$_4$ [15] and Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_12$ [16]. However, it is worth to point out that because the reaction time for the synthesis of the nano-sized particles in supercritical water is short, typically not longer than 15 min [13,15,16], the phase impurity and poor crystallinity problems might happen. A subsequent heat-treatment is therefore required to remove the impure particles and improve the crystallinity of the particles. Therefore, the synthesis conditions of supercritical hydrothermal process and the subsequent solid state reaction play an important role in the morphology, particle size and crystallinity of the final products as well as the electrochemical properties.

In this study, spinel structure LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode material was synthesized by the SH accelerated SSR route. The impacts of the reaction pressure, reaction temperature and reaction time of SH route, and the calcination temperature of SSR route on the purity, particle morphology and electrochemical properties of the prepared LiMn$_2$O$_4$ material were studied using one-factor-at-a-time experimental method.

2 Experimental

2.1 Chemicals

Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$ (50%, mass fraction; Guangzhou Chemical Reagent, China) and LiOH·H$_2$O (98%, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) were used as-received as the reactants for the synthesis of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode material in this study. Deionized water of a resistivity as 18 MΩ·cm produced by a deionized water production system (Model BRAIT-HiDi-10, Shanghai Baolor, China) was used to prepare the LiOH and Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$ solutions. Concentrated NH$_3$·H$_2$O solution (25%, Guangzhou Chemical Reagent, China) was used to adjust the pH of the mixture solution.

2.2 Apparatuses and procedures

In this study, a supercritical hydrothermal batch reactor and a high temperature furnace (Model RHF 1400, Carbolite Limited, England) were used to synthesize the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles. The schematic diagram of the supercritical hydrothermal batch reactor is shown in Fig. 1. It mainly consists of a temperature control system (including a high temperature furnace, a temperature transmitter and a temperature controller), a reaction kettle equipped with a water jacket, a cooling system (including a cooling water tank and a magnetic pump) and a pressure control system (including a pressure transmitter, a pressure release valve and a pressure controller). A brief description of the synthesis procedures of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ by the SH accelerated SSR route is given below.

![Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of supercritical hydrothermal batch reactor](image)

The reactants, LiOH·H$_2$O and Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$, were dissolved in deionized water individually with the corresponding concentrations of 0.2174 and 0.0266 mol/L, respectively. A mixture was then prepared by mixing 50 mL LiOH solution and 100 mL Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$ solution according to the molar ratio required, namely, $n$(Li):$n$(Mn)=4:1. The concentrated NH$_3$·H$_2$O solution was then added to adjust the pH of the mixture, and deionized water was added to make 200 mL mixture. As the consequence, the pH of the mixture solution was 10.7, and the concentrations of LiOH and Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$ in the mixture solution were 0.054 and 0.0134 mol/L, respectively. The prepared mixture solution was then transferred to the reaction kettle, and placed inside of the high temperature furnace which had been preheated to the specified temperature of each experimental run. The temperature of the furnace was controlled by a temperature controller to keep the reaction temperature of the mixture at specified temperatures. At the same time, the pressure release value was manipulated by another controller to maintain the reaction pressure of the mixture at specified values. During the reaction, the reaction pressure and temperature of SH route were also monitored by a pressure gauge and a thermometer respectively. After the specified reaction time, the high temperature furnace was shut down, and the cooling water was injected to the water jacket by the magnetic pump to cool the reaction kettle down to the room temperature. Products were then filtered and washed with deionized water. After that they were put into an oven and dried at 100 °C in air for overnight. The dried particles were finely ground and finally calcined for 3 h at the specified temperatures in the high temperature furnace (Model RHF 1400, Carbolite Limited, England).
2.3 Sample characterization
The crystalline properties of the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ samples were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) conducted by an X-ray diffraction system (Bruker D8 Advance, Germany) with a primary target of Cu K$_\alpha$ (wavelength of 1.5406 Å). The X-ray power was 1.6 kW and the diffractograms were recorded with a 2θ range of 10° to 70° at a scan speed of 0.2 (°)/s. The surface morphology of the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ samples was examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JSM−6300F, JEOL, Japan). The samples were mounted on a circular copper holder by conductive carbon adhesion. The acceleration voltage was set as 20 or 25 kV, as shown in the SEM images.

2.4 Electrochemical measurements
A slurry consisting of the prepared LiMn$_2$O$_4$ powders as active material, acetylene black as conducting agent, and polyvinylidene fluoride as binder with a mass ratio of 80:10:10 in N-methyl-2-pyrolidinone was prepared. The slurry was coated onto an aluminum foil with 10 mm in diameter. After coating, the electrodes were dried at 100 °C for 3 h, and then pressed under 15 MPa. Before battery assembling, the pressed electrodes were dried overnight at 100 °C. Batteries were assembled in a glove box (the MBRAUN UNIlab glovebox workstation, M. Braun Inertgas-Systeme GmbH, Germany) in argon atmosphere using the pressed electrodes as the cathode, Celgard 2500 as separator, 1 mol/L LiPF$_6$ in ethylene carbonate/ethylmethyl carbonate (volume ratio of 3:7) as electrolyte, and the metallic lithium sheets as anode. The cells were evaluated using a commercial multichannel battery testing system (Model CT-3008W, Shenzhen Neware electronic Co. Ltd., China), and the charge/discharge voltage window was set from 3.2 to 4.4 V vs Li/Li$^+$ at different rates.

3 Results and discussion
3.1 Effects of SH reaction pressure on properties of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode material
In order to evaluate the effect of the SH reaction pressure on the physical and electrochemical properties of the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles, four samples were prepared at 25, 28, 30 and 35 MPa, respectively, with the SH reaction temperature of 400 °C and reaction time of 15 min, and followed by SSR at 800 °C for 3 h. Despite the peak intensity differences, the XRD patterns of the samples prepared at 25, 28 and 30 MPa were quite similar and no impurity phase was found in Fig. 2. However, it is worth to point out that the peak intensities of the samples are strongly related to the SH reaction pressure. Higher pressure leads to higher XRD peak intensity and higher crystallinity of the final LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles. This can be explained by the unique property of supercritical water that the increase of the SH reaction pressure decreases the crystallization velocity of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles at the same SH reaction temperature. Therefore, the crystal growth rate will be lower, which results in better crystallinity of the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles. However, when the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles were prepared at a SH reaction pressure higher than 30 MPa. For example, at 35 MPa in this study, small amount of Mn$_3$O$_4$ appears, as shown in Fig. 2. The impurity phases caused by Mn$_3$O$_4$ can be attributed to the fact that the excessive pressure may hinder the embeddability of lithium ions into the Mn−O lattice.

![Fig. 2 XRD patterns of samples prepared at different SH reaction pressures](image)

The SEM images of the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles are shown in Fig. 3. The particle sizes of these four samples are about 250, 250, 300 and 400 nm, respectively. Their corresponding particle size distributions are narrow. No obvious differences in morphology can be found in Fig. 3. However, as the SH reaction pressure increases, the particle size of the spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$ powders increases slightly. This can be explained by the facts that in the supercritical hydrothermal process the solubility of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ increases with the pressure, preventing the nucleation of the new particles, and the existing fine particles are more likely to grow up and form large particles.

 Electrochemical tests are performed on various samples prepared at different SH reaction pressures, as shown in Fig. 4. As seen in Fig. 4(a), for the samples prepared at higher SH reaction pressures, such as 28, 30 and 35 MPa in this study, the characteristic two voltage plateaus of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ at around 4.0 V can be found. However, there is no voltage plateau found for the sample prepared at SH reaction pressure of 25 MPa. Moreover, its specific capacity is only 69 mA·h/g. This value is much smaller than the specific capacities of the samples prepared at 28, 30 and 35 MPa, which are 105,
Fig. 3 SEM images of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ prepared at different SH reaction pressures: (a) 25 MPa; (b) 28 MPa; (c) 30 MPa; (d) 35 MPa

Fig. 4 Discharge–charge profiles at 0.1C (a), cycling performance at 1C (b), rate performance (c) of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ prepared at different SH reaction pressures and representative discharge–charge curves at different rates (d)
120 and 112 mA·h/g, respectively. This can be explained by the fact that the sample prepared at a SH reaction pressure of 25 MPa is of poor crystallinity since its peak intensities in XRD pattern are much lower compared with the other three samples, as shown in Fig. 2. In terms of cycling and rate performance, the samples prepared at a SH reaction pressure of 30 MPa maintains 96.0% of the initial capacities after 50 cycles and delivers over 95 mA·h/g of specific capacity at 50°C, which are better than the samples prepared at 25, 28 and 35 MPa. In addition, the two characteristic voltage plateaus of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ at around 4.0 V can also be found for the sample prepared at 30 MPa even at a rate of 10°C. These results are quite impressed and can be attributed to the excellent crystallinity, purity and narrow particle size distribution of the sample prepared at 30 MPa, as discussed above. As the result, the SH reaction pressure is set as 30 MPa in the following experiments.

3.2 Effects of SH reaction temperature on properties of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode material

Four LiMn$_2$O$_4$ samples were prepared at 380, 390, 400 and 420 °C in SH reaction temperature, respectively, with the SH reaction pressure of 30 MPa and reaction time of 15 min, and followed by SSR at 800 °C for 3 h. Figure 5 shows the XRD patterns of the prepared LiMn$_2$O$_4$ samples. For the samples prepared at 380 and 390 °C, several XRD peaks which correspond to Mn$_3$O$_4$ are found. On the contrary, no impurity phase is found in the XRD patterns of the samples prepared at higher SH reaction temperatures. This means that the SH reaction temperature has a significant impact on the formation of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles. Low SH reaction temperature may lead to insufficient reactions between LiOH and Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$. As the consequence, the unreacted Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$ is then oxidized as manganese oxides, and finally Mn$_3$O$_4$ is formed in the following calcination process in the SSR route at high temperature, as shown in Fig. 5.

![Fig. 5 XRD patterns of samples prepared at different SH reaction temperatures](image)

Figure 6 shows the morphologies of the samples prepared at the investigated SH reaction temperatures. The morphologies of the four samples are similar. However, the crystallinity and particle size of the prepared samples vary with the SH reaction temperatures. The sample prepared at 380 °C has smallest particles. But these small particles are seriously agglomerated together, resulting in lots of amorphous blocks and lowest XRD peak intensities compared with other three samples, as shown in Fig. 5. It should be noted that the crystallinity and particle size of the sample prepared at 400 °C are better and larger, compared with those at 420, 390 and 380 °C, respectively. One of the reasons is that the reaction time of SH route and SSR route is short compared with the conventional hydrothermal synthesis method and solid state reaction method. As described above, low SH reaction temperature may lead to insufficient reactions between LiOH and Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$. Therefore, the subsequent SSR route only has minor impacts on the improvement of the crystallinity and the particle size of the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles. Furthermore, the viscosity of the water drops as the temperature exceeds the critical temperature. According to Walden-type equation, the diffusivity of the species of interest, e.g. Li$^+$ and Mn$^{2+}$ in this study, increases correspondingly. The mass transport-controlled reaction rate is then increased, resulting in faster nucleation of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles compared with low SH reaction temperature. Thus, the size of the particles is smaller due to the quick exhaustion of the interested species, e.g. the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles prepared at 420 °C in this study.

Because the crystallinity of the sample prepared at 400 °C is better compared with other samples, the samples of high purity, good electrochemical performance in terms of specific capacity and cycling stability can be expected, as shown in Fig. 7. It delivers 120 mA·h/g of specific capacity at the rate of 0.1 C (see Fig. 7(a)), and maintains about 95% of the initial specific capacity after 50 cycles at the rate of 1 C (see Fig. 7(b)). On the contrary, the samples prepared at 380 and 390 MPa only deliver 22.1 and 66.9 mA·h/g of specific capacities at the rate of 0.1 C respectively, indicating that the impurity phases caused by Mn$_3$O$_4$ and the serious agglomeration of the particles dramatically decrease the available amount of active LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles and restrict the contact between the electrolyte and active LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles.

For the sample prepared at 420 °C, the two characteristic voltage plateaus around 4.0 V are not obvious and the specific capacity is much lower than that of the sample prepared at 400 °C. One possible reason is that the lattice of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ is slightly altered in supercritical water at excessively high reaction.
temperature, making the lithium ion more difficult to intercalate/de-intercalate from the Mn−O lattice.

3.3 Effects of SH reaction time on properties of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode material

Four LiMn$_2$O$_4$ samples were prepared with SH reaction time of 1, 5, 10 and 15 min, respectively, the SH reaction pressure of 30 MPa and temperature of 400 °C, and followed by SSR at 800 °C for 3 h. Figure 8 presents the XRD patterns of the prepared LiMn$_2$O$_4$ samples. No impurity peak is found. Moreover, the intensities of the patterns are found to increase when the SH reaction time increases, indicating that the crystallinity of the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles can be improved by lengthening the reaction time appropriately. However, when the SH reaction time increases to 20 min, the resulting powders turn red. They are not lithium manganate apparently with black color. As more Li$^+$ inserts into the Mn−O structure over time, the Mn will be oxidized to the valence state of Mn$^{4+}$ under strong alkaline condition. Since an average valence state of Mn$^{3.5+}$ is preferred for LiMn$_2$O$_4$, 15 min of SH reaction time can be long enough for the proposed LiMn$_2$O$_4$ preparation route.

The SEM images of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ prepared with different SH reaction times are shown in Fig. 9. The morphologies of the four LiMn$_2$O$_4$ samples are similar.
However, the particle size of the prepared LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles increases slightly over the SH reaction time. This phenomenon is reasonable since the particles grow up with longer SH reaction time, which is consistent with XRD results as discussed previously.

The discharge–charge profiles at 0.1C and cyclic performance at 1C of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ prepared in different SH reaction times are shown in Fig. 10. The discharge capacity at 0.1C for the sample prepared with 15 min of SH reaction time is the highest, namely 123.57 mA·h/g, followed by the samples prepared with 5, 10 and 1 min of SH reaction time with 110.61, 107.00 and 104.48 mA·h/g, respectively. At higher current rate, e.g. 1C in this study, these four samples prepared with SH reaction time as 1, 5, 10 and 15 min deliver initial discharge capacities of 103.21, 108.20, 110.86 and
123.29 mA·h/g and capacity retention rates of 93.51%, 92.38%, 91.92% and 90.44% after 50 cycles, respectively. Although the capacity retention rate of the sample prepared with 15 min of SH reaction time is not the highest, it still can deliver a discharge capacity as high as 111.5 mA·h/g after 50 cycles which is higher than the initial capacity of other three samples. As the consequence, a SH reaction time of 15 min is set for the following experiments.

3.4 Effects of SSR reaction temperature on properties of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode material

In this study, the SSR of spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode material was accelerated by SH route. In order to evaluate the impacts of the reaction temperature of SSR route on the physical and electrochemical properties of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode material, four samples were prepared by SH route at 400 °C and 30 MPa with 15 min of SH reaction time. One of them was not further treated by SSR route while the rest were sintered at 600, 700 and 800 °C for 3 h, respectively.

The XRD patterns of the as-prepared and calcined LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode materials are shown in Fig. 11. XRD peaks increase as the SSR reaction temperature increases. In addition, the impurity peaks caused by Mn$_3$O$_4$ disappear when the SSR reaction temperature is higher than 600 °C. In other words, without heat treatment, the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles prepared by SH route are of poor crystallinity and contain Mn$_3$O$_4$ impurity due to the relatively short SH reaction time, i.e. 15 min in this study. The heat treatment provided by SSR route is helpful to improve the crystallinity of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ as well as its cycling performance and rate performance as discussed later.

The SEM images of these samples are shown in Fig. 12. Compared with the sample prepared by SH route only, the particle size increases after the heat-treatment as well as the crystallinity improves. Moreover, with the increase of the SSR reaction temperature, the morphology of the particles is more likely to be spherical, which is beneficial to improve the cycling performance of the prepared LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode materials.

The electrochemical test results of the prepared LiMn$_2$O$_4$ without and with heat treatment are shown in Fig. 13, respectively. It is quite interesting that the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ sample prepared by SH route only delivers 92 mA·h/g of initial specific capacity at a rate of 0.1C, while the samples further processed by SSR route at 600, 700 and 800 °C deliver 118, 123 and 120 mA·h/g of initial specific capacity, respectively. The initial specific capacity of the sample prepared at a SSR reaction temperature of 700 °C is larger than the one prepared at 800 °C. However, the cycling stability of the sample prepared at 800 °C is superior to other three samples. It maintained 96.0% of the initial specific capacity after 50 cycles at a rate of 1C, while the samples prepared without or with SSR route at 600 and 700 °C only maintain 92.5%, 91.3% and 90.8% of the initial specific capacity, respectively. Moreover, the sample prepared with SSR route at 800 °C delivers 95 mA·h/g of specific capacity, while the samples prepared at 600 and 700 °C of SSR route only deliver 85 and 92.8 mA·h/g at a rate of 50C. These results are quite impressed since the excellent rate performance of the spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode material is very essential for its applications in electric vehicles.

3.5 Comparison between commercial and as-prepared LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode materials

As described in the previous sections, the spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode material prepared by SH accelerated SSR route exhibits good crystallinity, uniform size distribution and excellent electrochemical performance. It is therefore interesting to make a comparison between the commercial and as-prepared LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode material in terms of morphology, cycling stability and rate performance. Figures 14 and 15 show the SEM images, cycling stability and rate performance test results of the commercial LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles and the LiMn$_2$O$_4$
Fig. 12 SEM images of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ prepared without (a) and with SSR reaction at different temperatures 600 °C (b), 700 °C (c) and 800 °C (d).

Fig. 13 Discharge−charge profiles at 0.1C (a) and cyclic performance at 1C (b) of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ prepared at different SSR reaction temperatures.

Fig. 14 SEM images of commercial (a) and as-prepared (b) LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode materials.
particles prepared by the proposed SH accelerated SSR route (30 MPa, 400 °C and 15 min SH reaction, 800 °C and 3 h SSR reaction, respectively).

As shown in Fig. 14(a), the commercial LiMn₂O₄ products consist of large spherical particles which are agglomerated by nano-sized particles. The size of the commercial LiMn₂O₄ spherical particles is about 10 μm. On the contrary, the particle size of the LiMn₂O₄ materials prepared by the SH accelerated SSR route is about 300 nm (see Fig. 14(b)). Since the particle size of the LiMn₂O₄ material prepared by the SH accelerated SSR route is much smaller than the commercial product, higher specific capacities can be expected, even at high rates. Actually, the nano-structured particles are beneficial to shorten the diffusion distance of Li⁺ ions from the electrolyte to the Mn–O lattice in the lithium ion batteries, leading to better electrochemical performance, i.e. specific capacity and cycling stability.

As expected, the prepared samples show superiorities over the commercial samples in the cycling stability and specific capacity at various rates, as shown in Fig. 15. For example, it delivers 118 and 95 mA·h/g of specific capacity at 1 and 50 °C, while the commercial samples only delivers 109 and 70 mA·h/g of specific capacity, respectively. It should be noted that the reaction times of the SH and SSR routes in the proposed method are 15 min and 3 h, respectively, which are much shorter than the one required by the conventional solid state reaction method, i.e. 72 h as reported by GUYOMARD and TARASCON [5]. This can be one of the significant advantages of the proposed SH accelerated SSR route compared with the conventional solid state reaction method since less energy is consumed for the synthesis of the spinel LiMn₂O₄ cathode material, especially in the high temperature solid state reaction process.

**Fig. 15** Electrochemical performance comparisons of commercial and as-prepared LiMn₂O₄ cathode material: (a) Cycling stability at 1C; (b) Cycling performance at various rates

### 4 Conclusions

1) Spinel structure LiMn₂O₄ cathode materials for Li-ion batteries were successfully synthesized by a supercritical-hydrothermal accelerated solid state reaction route.

2) The experimental results showed that the reaction pressure, reaction temperature and reaction time of SH route and SSR reaction temperature have significant impacts on the physical and electrochemical performance of the LiMn₂O₄ cathode materials. It is found that the samples prepared with 30 MPa, 400 °C, 15 min SH route and 800 °C, 3 h SSR reaction are better than the samples prepared with other experimental conditions considered in terms of particle crystallinity, size distribution and electrochemical properties. Specially, they deliver 120 mA·h/g of specific capacity at 0.1°C, and maintain over 96.0% of the initial specific capacity after 50 cycles at 1°C. Moreover, their specific capacity could reach 95 mA·h/g even at 50°C rate, which is very promising for their applications in electric vehicles.
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摘 要: 采用超临界水热合成与高温固相合成相结合的方法制备亚微米级锂离子电池锰酸锂正极材料, 研究超临界水热合成过程中的反应压力、反应温度、反应时间和高温固相合成过程中煅烧温度对合成材料的纯度、形貌及电化学特性的影响。结果表明: 相对于传统的高温固相合成方法, 在 400 ℃和 30 MPa 的条件下, 经过 15 min 超临界水热反应获得的 LiMn2O4 电池材料, 在后续 800 ℃煅烧温度下的煅烧时间可缩短到 3 h。在该条件下合成的 LiMn2O4 正极材料具有良好的结晶度、均匀的粒度分布和优异的电化学性能, 在 0.1 C 下的首次放电比容量达到 120 mA·h/g, 且在 50℃的高倍率下仍然表现出良好的放电性能。

关键词: 锂离子电池；锰酸锂；超临界水；固相反应；高倍率性能
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