Microstructures and properties of Al−45%Si alloy prepared by liquid−solid separation process and spray deposition
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Abstract: The microstructures and properties of Al−45%Si alloy prepared by liquid−solid separation (LSS) process and spray deposition (SD) were studied. The results show that the size, shape and distribution of the primary Si phase have different influence on the properties of alloys. Comparing with the Si particles with irregular shape, fine size and continuous distribution in SD alloy, the primary Si phase in LSS alloy is sphere-like, coarse and surrounded by the continuous Al matrix. The microstructure features of LSS alloy are beneficial to the higher thermal conductivity and lower thermal expansion coefficient at room temperature. The fine Si particle in SD alloy is advantageous to improving the mechanical properties. The increasing rates of thermal expansion coefficient with temperature are influenced by the distribution of the Si particles, where a lower rate is obtained in SD alloy with continuous Si particles. The agreement of thermal expansion coefficient with the model in LSS alloy differs from that in the SD alloy because of the different microstructure characteristics.
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1 Introduction

The Al−Si alloy is an ideal material for electronic packaging with high thermal conductivity (TC), low thermal expansion coefficient (CTE) and low density. Several methods were exploited to prepare Al−Si alloy [1−3]. The successful process included liquid infiltration (LIF) [2], spray deposition (SD) [3], and powder metallurgy (PM) [4]. The primary Si phase is the main constituent in the Al−Si alloy and its size, shape and distribution are determined by processes. In the microstructure of spray deposition prepared alloy, the Si phase was fine and interconnected each other [5]. The general microstructure features of the powder metallurgy alloy were the dispersive Si particles distributing in the continuous Al matrix [4]. As for in the liquid infiltration alloy, the Si particles and Al matrix formed an interpenetrating network [2]. It is necessary to clarify the dependence of the properties on the microstructures, including the size, shape and distribution of the primary Si phase in the different process.

For the metal-matrix composite with particle reinforcement, lots of researches about the influence of the particle size, shape and distribution on the TC and CTE had been done. CHIEN [6] and PARK et al [7] studied the effect of the particle size on the TC, and a same conclusion was drawn that the composite with fine particles often had a low conductivity because of much more interface area. Referring to the studies of YAN and GENG [8] and PARK et al [7] in SiC/Al composites, the CTE was proportional to the size of particles, while CHIEN et al [6] indicated that the composite with fine particles had a low CTE compared with the coarse particles. The regular particles often produced small interface area and had advantage of improving the TC, which was confirmed by ZHANG et al [9]. TAKEI and HATTA [10,11] studied the CTE of SiC/Al composite with different shapes and found that the composite of spherical particles had the lowest CTE, which agreed with the result of ZHANG et al [12]. SHEN and NEEDLEMAN [13,14] made series of theory calculations and experimental research about the CTE of composites with different phases. The results showed that there was a significant dependence of the overall CTE on the phase contiguity, i.e., on the continuity of the
matrix or the reinforcement. About the continuity of the constituents in the composite, WANG et al [15] proposed that the favorable structure of the composite with high TC was that the particles interconnected and formed a continuous network. However, the continuous reinforcement produced the maximum interface area [16] and was disadvantageous to the TC.

In view of the size, shape and distribution of particles have a significant influence on the composite properties, the microstructures and properties of Al–Si alloy prepared by two processes were studied. The aim of this study is to reveal the effect of the size, shape and distribution of the primary Si phase on the strength, thermal conductivity and thermal expansion coefficient of Al–Si alloy. Two kinds of models were applied to calculating the CTE and compared with the measured values. The appropriate model was established based on the microstructure features in the different processes. A new method of liquid–solid separation was put forward and the microstructure characterization of Al–Si alloy fabricated by it was analyzed. The method will provide an alternative process to prepare the Al–Si alloy for the electronic packaging.

2 Experimental

Al–45%Si alloy samples were fabricated by liquid–solid separation (LSS) process and spray deposition (SD) [17], respectively. The main procedures in LSS were as flows: the cast Al–25%Si alloy was remelted at 600 °C into semi-solid; the liquid and solid semi-solid alloys were separated under the pressure; the quantitative liquid was discharged out of the alloy and the remanent alloy with 45% Si element was solidified; Al–45% Si alloy was annealed at 490 °C for 3 h.

The microstructure and fracture morphology were observed by a NEOPHOT2 optical microscope and LEO–1450 scanning electron microscope (SEM). The area distributions of elements were studied with a JEOL JXA–8230 electron probe X-ray microanalysis (EPMA). CTE tests were performed on a DIL 402 thermal dilatometer at a rate of 5 °C/min, the dimensions of specimen were d5 mm×25 mm. The densities of alloys were determined based on the Archimedes drainage method and compared with the theoretical density to obtain the relative density. TC was measured by the laser flash method with the LFA 427 instrument at 25 °C. The 3-point bending tests with 36 mm span were carried out at room temperature on a RGM–3010 electron universal testing machine. Brinell hardness measurements were performed on a XHB–3000 Brilled durometer.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Microstructure

Figure 1 shows the optical micrographs of Al–45%Si alloy samples prepared by the LSS and SD processes. The gray particle is primary Si phase and the white matrix is rich in Al-phase. In LSS alloy, the primary Si phase is regular with dull angular, and the mean diameter is 40–50 μm. The short stick-like eutectic Si phase distributes in the Al matrix in Fig. 1(a). Some black dots in Fig. 1(a) were analyzed with X-ray energy spectrum, and the results show that it consists of Al, P and O elements (see Fig. 2) which were formed during Al–25%Si casting process [18]. In SD alloy, the Si particles are irregular with sharp angles, the size distribution is non-uniform and the mean diameter is 20–30 μm.

Figure 3 shows the SEM images of alloys after being deep-leached. The surface of primary Si in LSS alloy is smooth, the stick or flake-like eutectic Si exists on its surface. The Al matrix which was leached off fills the continuous channels of primary Si particles. By contrast, the surface of primary Si in SD alloy is rough and the Si particles are interconnected into a skeleton.

Figures 4 and 5 show the secondary electron image
and area distribution maps of Al and Si elements in LSS and SD alloys. The brightness level reflects the content of the element. It can be seen from Fig. 4 that the matrix of Al-rich phase is continuous to form a network in LSS alloy, and the sphere-like Si particles with distinct interface are surrounded by the network. In Fig. 5, the Al matrix is disservered into island by the connected Si particles, and the interface of Si particle is fuzzy.

According to the feature of LSS process, when Al–25%Si alloy is heated to semi-solid temperature, the eutectic phase will melt into the liquid and the primary Si phase is wrapped by the liquid. In order to reduce the interface energy, the edge of the primary Si with a larger curvature radius, which is unstable in thermodynamics, will dissolve. The dull angular of primary Si phase in Al–45%Si alloy is the result of dissolution. As the separating flowing occurs between the liquid and primary Si under the pressure, the fraction of primary Si increases with the liquid discharged-out. The remanent liquid phase in the semi-solid alloy solidifies in the narrow channel among the primary Si particles. On the basis of the inhomogeneous solidification theory of the liquid-metals, the primary Si particles supplied favorable energy and geometry conditions for the nucleation of

![Fig. 2 SEM image (a) and EDS pattern (b) of black dots in Fig. 1(a)](image)

![Fig. 3 SEM images of Al–45%Si alloy after being deep-leached: (a) LSS process; (b) SD process](image)

![Fig. 4 Secondary electron image (a) and element area distribution of Si (b) and Al (c) in LSS alloy](image)
the eutectic Si phase in the liquid. With the same crystal structure and without lattice mismatch, the eutectic Si phase would preferentially nucleate on the surface of primary Si phase with a low interface energy. Furthermore, the surface of primary Si supplied nature edge for the nucleation which promoted the epitaxial growth of the eutectic Si on the primary Si phase and suppressed the couple growth of eutectic Si and α(Al) phase. The α(Al) phase was divorced by eutectic Si and solidified among the gaps of primary Si.

3.2 Properties

The properties of Al–45%Si alloy are listed in Table 1. Comparing with the SD alloy, the hardness and strength of LSS alloy are lower. The high strength and hardness of SD alloy are attributed to the refined size of primary Si phase referring to the Hall-Petch formula [19] which described an inverse relation of the materials strength and the particles size. Figure 6 shows the fracture morphologies of the alloys. The fracture surface of Si particle in LSS alloy is smooth and some microcracks appear on it. Whereas, the fracture surface of Si particles in SD alloy are uneven and there exist some dimples in the Al matrix. The in-situ synthesized primary Si particles during the LSS and SD processes have high bond strength with the matrix, so the crack at the interface is not observed in both alloys. The source of crack was generated from the brittle fracture of primary Si particles.

Although the LSS alloy with coarse size is unbenefficial to its strength, the sphere-like primary Si particles and the continuous Al matrix would be helpful to the strength. The sphere-like particles with dull angular particles could reduce the stress concentration at the interface [20,21] and favor to alleviate the initiation and growth rates of crack induced by the stress. The results show that the probability of the low stress brittle fracture of Al–Si alloy would reduce and the fracture toughness would improve. The continuous Al matrix will enhance the successfully plastic flow in the deforming alloy, which could increase the load carrying capacity and improve the strength of the alloy [22]. However,
as the effect of coarse size on the low strength was more prominent, the advantageous effects above were not reflected in this study. Some future works need to do in the LSS process to improve the strength of Al–Si alloy, including the refinement of the primary Si phase in initial Al–25%Si alloy and controlling its size without coarsening at the semi-solid temperature.

From Table 1, it can be seen that the TC of LSS alloy is higher than that of SD alloy, and two reasons were involved. One was the lower interface area in LSS alloy. The interface is often considered as the barrier of the heat transfer and would reduce the TC owing to the heat flow scattering. At the same volume fraction, the primary Si of coarse size and sphere-like shape in LSS alloy produced a lower interface area [10] than the fine and irregular Si particle in SD alloy. In addition, the continuous distribution of Al matrix also helped to reduce the interface area. Figure 7 shows the ideal structure of Si–Al composite [23], where the dispersive Si particles are surrounded by the continuous Al matrix. It was confirmed that the interface area in the structure of Fig. 7 was lower than the interface area in the structure of discontinuous Al phase by LIN et al [16]. In brief, the microstructure of coarse, sphere-like primary Si particles and continuous matrix produced a lower interface in LSS alloy which reduced the heat scattering probability and helped to get a higher thermal conductivity. The advantage of the continuous Al matrix phase to the heat flowing was another reason for the high TC in LSS alloy. In Al–Si alloy, the Al phase has high TC and takes more function to transfer the heat. When the Al phase makes up a continuous network shown in Fig. 4(c), the heat fluently flows through the network with little scattering, which increased the heat transformation efficiency and improved the thermal conductivity. The advantage of the continuous Al matrix for the high TC was contra to result of WANG et al [15] who proposed that the advantageous structure for the high TC in Si/Al composite was the continuous Si particles. More detail researches about the dependence of the continuity of constituents in Al–Si alloy on the TC need to carry out in the future work.

Figure 8 shows the measured CTE curves of Al–45%Si alloys and the CTEs at 50 °C and 100 °C calculated by the Kerner model and Turnal model [24]. The CTE of LSS alloy is lower than that of SD alloy at 60 °C, and with the temperature rising above 60 °C, it increases and is higher than that of SD alloy.

Due to the mismatch of CTE between Si phase and Al phase, the residual stress would generate in the Al–Si alloy during preparation process. The particles with dull angular in LSS alloy would induce lower stress at the interface than the irregular particle in SD alloy. The stress in the composite playing the role of adding the plastic strain of the matrix and promoting the thermal expansion had been verified [13,24]. The slight stress level in the LSS alloy resulted on the low CTE at the original temperature. With the temperature increasing, the stress relieved gradually in both alloys, meanwhile the Si phase with a low CTE of $4.1 \times 10^{-6}\,^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ would restrict the expansion deformation of Al phase which has a high CTE of $23.2 \times 10^{-6}\,^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$. As the Al phase was surrounded by the connected Si phase, the restriction effect could enhance, leading to a less CTE in SD alloy. While in the LSS alloy, the restrict effect could be weakened by the dispersive Si phase, the restriction was little or did not occur even [13,14] as the Al phase composed the continuous matrix. As a result, the CTE of LSS alloy increased with a greater rate and was higher than that of SD alloy over 60 °C.

In Fig. 8, the CTEs of both alloys at 50 °C are near to Turner’s model, while at 100 °C, the CTE of LSS alloy is agreed with the Kerner’s model. In the Kerner’s model, it is assumed that the reinforcement is spherical and wetted by a uniform layer of matrix; thus the CTE of
the composite is identical to that of a volume element composed of a spherical reinforcement particles surrounded by a shell of matrix. The structure of LSS alloy is consistent with Kerner’s assumption, so it is feasible to predict the CTE of LSS alloy with Kerner model at 100 °C, here the stress relieved completely. The Turner model considered that the internal stress system in a mixture is not sufficient to disrupt the composite, and the sum of internal forces will be equal to zero. Moreover, the dimension of the components is constrained by each other and changes with the same rate. Without the effect of residual stress, the CTE of composite with continuous reinforcement has good agreement with Turner model by ELOMARI [24] and NAM [25], here the CTE of SD alloy is also concordant with the Turner model.

4 Conclusions

1) The primary Si phase in LSS alloy is sphere-like with size of 40–50 μm and well distributes in the continuous Al matrix. The eutectic Si phase grows on the surface of the primary Si phase because of the epitaxial growth. In SD alloy, the Si particles are irregular with size of 20–30 μm and interconnect to a continuous skeleton which isolates the Al matrix phase.

2) The SD alloy with fine Si particles has higher hardness and strength than LSS alloy, but its TC is lower and CTE at room temperature is higher than those of LSS alloy. The high TC in LSS alloy is related to microstructure features of the Si particle with sphere-like shape, coarse size and the continuous Al matrix. In additional, the sphere-like Si phase is favorable to the low CTE.

3) The increasing rate of CTE in the SD alloy with temperature is lower than that in the LSS alloy. The reason of lower rate is that continuous Si particles in SD alloy will impose much more restriction effect on the Al matrix and help to reduce the CTE.

4) The CTEs of the alloys have different agreement with the Kerner’s model and Turner’s model according to the different microstructure characteristics. At the temperature of 50 °C, the CTEs of both alloys are near to Turner’s model, but at 100 °C, the CTE of LSS alloy agrees with the Kerner’s model without the effect of the stress.

References

液固分离和喷射沉积制备 Al–45%Si 合金的组织及性能

李艳霞 1,2, 刘俊友 1, 王文韶 1, 刘国权 1
1. 北京科技大学 材料科学与工程学院，北京 100083；
2. 北华航天工业学院 材料系，廊坊 065000

摘 要: 对液固分离法(LSS)和喷射沉积法(SD)制备的 Al–45%Si 合金组织和性能进行研究。结果表明，两种方法制备的合金中初生 Si 相具有不同的尺寸、形态和分布，从而对合金性能产生不同的影响。采用喷射沉积法制备的合金中硅相形状不规则，尺寸细小并在空间连续分布。液固分离法制备的合金中硅相形态呈近球形，尺寸较大并被连续的铝基体包覆。与喷射沉积合金相比，液固分离制备合金具有更高的热导率和更低的低温热膨胀系数，但由于硅颗粒尺寸较大，力学性能低于喷射沉积合金。硅相的分布形式对热膨胀系数的增加速率影响显著，其中喷射沉积法制备合金中硅相连续分布有利于获得低的增加速率。由于组织特征的不同，液固分离制备合金的热膨胀系数预测模型与喷射沉积制备合金有区别。
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